arXiv:1206.3969v1 [math.RT] 18 Jun 2012

LINEAR CONNECTIONS FOR REPRODUCING KERNELS ON VECTOR BUNDLES

DANIEL BELTIŢĂ AND JOSÉ E. GALÉ

Abstract. We construct a canonical correspondence from a wide class of reproducing kernels on Banach vector bundles to linear connections on these bundles. The linear connection in question is obtained through a pull-back operation involving the tautological universal bundle and the classifying morphism of the kernel. In this way the aforementioned correspondence turns out to be a functor between categories of kernels and linear connections. A number of examples of linear connections including the ones associated to classical kernels, homogeneous reproducing kernels and kernels occurring in the dilation theory for completely positive maps are given, together with their covariant derivatives.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries on linear connections and their pullbacks 2
3. Reductive structures for Banach-Lie groups 7
4. Classifying morphisms for reproducing kernels 14
5. Connections associated with reproducing kernels 21
6. Examples 24
References 38

1. Introduction

The present paper deals with the differential geometric background of the notion of reproducing kernel. We show that, under mild assumptions, to every reproducing kernel on an infinite-dimensional Hermitian-like vector bundle there corresponds a linear connection on that bundle, and this correspondence sets up a functor between suitably defined categories of reproducing kernels and linear connections, respectively. This functor also turns out to be canonical in some sense. In the case of a tautological vector bundle over a Grassmann manifold, the well-known universal connection corresponds to the so-called universal reproducing kernel that we pointed out in the earlier paper [BG11]. We also discuss a number of specific examples including the classical Hardy and Bergman kernels and others on infinite-dimensional manifolds. Let us mention that in the present paper we only show how to define the linear connection induced by a reproducing kernel

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E22; Secondary 22E66, 47B32, 46L05, 18A05.

Key words and phrases. tautological bundle; Grassmann manifold; reproducing kernel; classifying morphism; connection; covariant derivative.

This research was partly supported by Project MTM2010-16679, DGI-FEDER, of the MCYT, Spain. The first-named author has also been supported by a Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0131. The second-named author has also been supported by Project E-64, D.G. Aragón, Spain.

and study the very basic properties of the correspondence between these two types of objects. Questions like the compatibility of such connections with complex structures or C^*-Hermitian structures will be addressed in a forthcoming paper.

The circle of ideas approached here is motivated by the interest in understanding certain physical models ([Od88], [Od92]) as well as the geometric models for certain representations of unitary groups of C^*-algebras; see for instance [BG08], [BG09] or [Ne12]. These models of Borel-Weil type were constructed by using suitable reproducing kernels on homogeneous vector bundles and a rich panel of differential geometric features of operator algebras turned out on this occasion. The ideas were developed in a categorial framework in [BG11], where the geometric features of reproducing kernels have been reinforced in relation with the geometry of tautological vector bundles taken as universal objects. We were thus naturally led to investigating the differential geometric features of reproducing kernels.

Before proceeding to a more detailed presentation of the contents of the present paper, we wish to emphasize that the reproducing kernels are known to play a crucial role in the representation theory of finite-dimensional Lie groups. The geometric significance of such kernels had been pointed out for instance in [BH98] and [Ne00], and more recently, in the very fine paper [Hi08].

In Section 2 we provide some auxiliary properties of connections on bundles modeled on Banach manifolds and we emphasize the operation of pull-back, which plays a key role throughout this paper. Section 3 is devoted to the reductive structures in the framework of Banach-Lie groups. We briefly discuss here the linear connections induced by the reductive structures and we then present some examples that arise in the theory of C^*-algebras and have a paramount importance in producing geometric realizations for representations of certain Banach-Lie groups. The reproducing kernels on Banach vector bundles that we deal with in this paper are discussed in Section 4 and an essential enhancement of a universality property from [BG11] is established (Theorem 4.7). Our main constructions of linear connections out of reproducing kernels are presented in Section 5. We compute their covariant derivative in terms of the input reproducing kernel (Theorem 5.3), and we also study their functorial properties (Theorem 5.4). And finally, Section 6 points out a panel of examples of reproducing kernels to which our methods apply, for instance the usual type of operator-valued reproducing kernels, including the classical reproducing kernels of Hardy or Bergmann type, the homogeneous reproducing kernels that we earlier used in the geometric representation theory of Banach-Lie groups, or the kernels that occur in the dilation theory of completely positive maps on C^*-algebras. The analysis of the linear connections associated with other specific reproducing kernels and their applications to some representations of semisimple Lie groups in function spaces, incuding in particular holomorphic discrete series representations, will be carried out in another forthcoming paper.

2. Preliminaries on linear connections and their pullbacks

2.1. **Connections on fiber bundles.** Throughout this section we are using [KM97] as the main reference for Banach fiber bundles and connections on them; see also [La01].

Definition 2.1. Let $\varphi\colon M\to Z$ a fiber bundle and consider both vector bundle structures of the tangent space TM:

- $\tau_M\colon TM\to M$, the tangent bundle of the total space M.
- $T\varphi\colon TM\to TZ$, the tangent map of φ.

A *connection* on the bundle $\varphi\colon M\to Z$ is a smooth map $\Phi\colon TM\to TM$ with the following properties:

(i) $\Phi \circ \Phi = \Phi$;
(ii) the pair (Φ, id_M) is an endomorphism of the bundle $\tau_M \colon TM \to M$;
(iii) for every $x \in M$, if we denote $\Phi_x := \Phi|_{T_xM} \colon T_xM \to T_xM$, then we have $\mathrm{Ran}\,(\Phi_x) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(T_x\varphi)$, so that we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to H_xM \hookrightarrow T_xM \xrightarrow{\Phi_x} T_xM \xrightarrow{T_x\varphi} T_{\varphi(x)}Z \to 0.$$

Here $H_xM := \mathrm{Ker}\,(\Phi_x)$ is a closed linear subspace of T_xM called the space of *horizontal vectors* at $x \in M$. Similarly, the space of *vertical vectors* at $x \in M$ is $\mathcal{V}_xM := \mathrm{Ker}\,(T_x\varphi)$. Then we have the direct sum decomposition $T_xM = H_xM \oplus \mathcal{V}_xM$, for every $x \in M$ (cf. [KM97, subsect. 37.2]).

We consider in this paper two special types of connections.

(1) If $\varphi \colon M \to Z$ is a principal bundle with structure group G acting to the right on M by

$$(x, g) \mapsto \mu_g(x) = \mu(x, g), \; M \times G \to M$$

then a connection Φ on $\varphi \colon M \to Z$ is called *principal* whenever it is G-equivariant, that is,

$$T(\mu_g) \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ T(\mu_g)$$

for all $g \in G$ (cf. [KM97, subsect. 37.19]).

(2) If $\varphi \colon M \to Z$ is a vector bundle then a connection Φ on $\varphi \colon M \to Z$ is called *linear* if the pair (Φ, id_{TZ}) is an endomorphism of the vector bundle $T\varphi \colon TM \to TZ$ (i.e., if Φ is linear on the fibers of the bundle $T\varphi$); see [KM97, subsect. 37.27].

We are interested in particular in vector bundles constructed out of principal ones. Recall how they appear: Let $\pi \colon \mathcal{P} \to Z$ be a principal Banach bundle with the structure Banach-Lie group G and the action $\mu \colon \mathcal{P} \times G \to \mathcal{P}$. Assume that $\rho \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E})$ is a smooth representation of G by linear operators on a Banach space $\mathbf{E}$, and denote by

$$[(p, e)] \mapsto \pi(p), \quad \Pi \colon D = \mathcal{P} \times_G \mathbf{E} \to Z$$

the *associated vector bundle* (see [Bo67] and [KM97, subsect. 37.12]). Here $\mathcal{P} \times_G \mathbf{E}$ denotes the quotient of $\mathcal{P} \times \mathbf{E}$ with respect to the equivalence relation defined by

$$(\forall g \in G) \quad (p, e) \sim (\mu(p, g), \rho(g^{-1})e) =: \bar{\mu}(g)(p, e)$$

whenever $(p, e) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathbf{E}$, and we denote by $[(p, e)]$ the equivalence class of any pair (p, e).

In this way, $\Pi \colon \mathcal{P} \times_G \mathbf{E} \to Z$ is a vector G-bundle; that is, a vector bundle $\Pi \colon D \to Z$ endowed with a smooth left action $\bar{\mu} \colon G \times D \to D$ that maps every fiber of D *onto* itself and is fiberwise linear.

Remark 2.2. Every connection on a principal bundle π induces a linear connection on any vector bundle associated to π. This is shown for example in [KM97]:

For a Banach-Lie group G the tangent manifold TG is endowed with structure of a semidirect product of groups $TG \equiv G \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_G} \mathfrak{g}$ defined by the adjoint action of G on its Banach-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, so that the multiplication in TG is given by

$$(g_1, X_1)(g_2, X_2) = (g_1g_2, \mathrm{Ad}_G(g_2^{-1})X_1 + X_2), \quad (g_1, g_2 \in G; X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{g});$$

see [KM97, Cor. 38.10].

Let us now assume that $\pi \colon \mathcal{P} \to Z$ is a principal bundle with structure group G under the action $\mu \colon \mathcal{P} \times G \to \mathcal{P}$. Then the tangent bundle $T\pi \colon T\mathcal{P} \to TZ$ is a principal bundle with structure group $TG = G \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_G} \mathfrak{g}$ and right action $T\mu \colon T\mathcal{P} \times TG \to T\mathcal{P}$, see [KM97, Cor. 37.18]. Let $\rho\colon G\to\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E})$ be a smooth representation as formerly. Then its tangent map $T\rho$ can be viewed as the smooth representation $T\rho\colon G\times_{\mathrm{Ad}_G}\mathfrak{g}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E}\oplus\mathbf{E})$ given by

$$(g,X)\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}\rho(g)&0\\0&\rho(g)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\d\rho(X)&1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\rho(g)&0\\\rho(g)d\rho(X)&\rho(g)\end{pmatrix},$$

where the resulting matrix is to be understood as acting on vectors of $\mathbf{E}\oplus\mathbf{E}$ written in column form.

Using the representation $T\rho$, the tangent bundle $\tau_D\colon TD\to D$ corresponding to the vector bundle $\Pi\colon D=\mathcal{P}\times_G\mathbf{E}\to Z$ can be described as the vector bundle $\tau_D\colon TD=T\mathcal{P}\times_{G\times_{\mathrm{Ad}_G}\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{E}\oplus\mathbf{E})\to D=\mathcal{P}\times_G\mathbf{E}$, associated to the principal bundle $T\mathcal{P}\to TZ$, defined by

$$\tau_D\colon[(v_p,(f,h))]\mapsto[(p,f)]\qquad(v_p=(p,v)\in T\mathcal{P};f,h\in\mathbf{E}).$$

Suppose now that Φ is a principal connection on the principal bundle $\pi\colon\mathcal{P}\to Z$. For $v=(x,v_x)\in TD$, $g\in G$ and $X\in\mathfrak{g}$, we have that $(g,X)=T_1(g\,\cdot\,)X$ in TG, and

$$T_g\mu(x\,\cdot\,)T_1\mu(g\,\cdot\,)X=T_1\mu(x\cdot g,\cdot)X.$$

Moreover, the fundamental vector field $T_1\mu(x\cdot g,\cdot)X$ defined by X at $x\cdot g$ belongs to the vertical subbundle of $T\mathcal{P}$ (see [KM97, Cor. 37.18]), whence $\Phi(T_1\mu(x\cdot g,\cdot)X)=T_1\mu(x\cdot g,\cdot)X$.

Then the mapping $\Phi\times\mathrm{id}_{T\mathbf{E}}\colon T\mathcal{P}\times T\mathbf{E}\to T\mathcal{P}\times T\mathbf{E}$ is TG-equivariant and, moreover, for every $f,h\in\mathbf{E}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi\times\mathrm{id}_{T\mathbf{E}})&(T\mu(v,T_1(g\,\cdot\,)X,T\rho(g,X)^{-1})(f,h)),\\&=(\Phi(T_g\mu(x,\cdot)T_1(g\,\cdot\,)X+T\mu_g(v_x)),T\rho(g,X)^{-1}(f,h))\\&=(\Phi(T_1\mu(x\cdot g,\ \cdot\ )X)+\Phi(T\mu_g(v_x),T\rho(g,X)^{-1})(f,h))\\&=(T_1\mu(x\cdot g,\ \cdot\ )X+T\mu_g(\Phi(v_x)),T\rho(g,X)^{-1})(f,h)).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Phi\times\mathrm{id}_{T\mathbf{E}}$ factorizes through a map $\bar{\Phi}\colon T(\mathcal{P}\times_G\mathbf{E})\to T(\mathcal{P}\times_G\mathbf{E})=T\mathcal{P}\times_{TG}T\mathbf{E}$, i. e.,

$$\begin{tikzcd}T\mathcal{P}\times T\mathbf{E} \arrow[r, "\Phi\times\mathrm{id}_{T\mathbf{E}}"] \arrow[d] & T\mathcal{P}\times T\mathbf{E} \arrow[d]\\ T\mathcal{P}\times_{TG}T\mathbf{E} \arrow[r, "\bar{\Phi}"] & T\mathcal{P}\times_{TG}T\mathbf{E}\end{tikzcd}$$

This map $\bar{\Phi}$ is by definition the *induced connection*, by Φ, on $T(\mathcal{P}\times_G\mathbf{E})$. See [KM97, subsect. 37.24].

For specific purposes it is often useful to be able to compute the covariant derivative associated with a linear connection on a vector bundle. We now briefly recall the relevant notions (as in [Vi67]) and we then provide a proposition that we will need in the specific computations to be carried out in the final section of the present paper.

Let $\Pi\colon D\to Z$ be a vector bundle endowed with a linear connection $\Phi\colon TD\to TD$. Let $\mathcal{V}D=\mathrm{Ker}\,(T\Pi)$ $(\subseteq TD)$ be the vertical subbundle of the tangent bundle $\tau_D\colon TD\to D$. A useful description of $\mathcal{V}D$ can be obtained by considering the fibered product $D\underset{Z}{\times}D:=\{(x_1,x_2)\in D\times D\mid\Pi(x_1)=\Pi(x_2)\}$ along with the natural maps $r_j\colon D\underset{Z}{\times}D\to D$, $r_j(x_1,x_2)=x_j$ for $j=1,2$. Define for every $(x_1,x_2)\in D\underset{Z}{\times}D$ the path $c_{x_1,x_2}\colon\mathbf{R}\to D$, $c_{x_1,x_2}(t)=x_1+tx_2$. Then it is easily seen that we have a well-defined diffeomorphism $\varepsilon\colon D\underset{Z}{\times}D\to\mathcal{V}D$, $\quad\varepsilon(x_1,x_2)=\dot{c}_{x_1,x_2}(0)\in T_{x_1}D$, which is in fact an isomorphism between the vector bundles $r_1\colon D \underset{Z}{\times} D \to D$ and $\tau_D|_{\mathcal{V}D}\colon \mathcal{V}D \to D$. We then get a natural mapping $r := r_2 \circ \varepsilon^{-1}\colon \mathcal{V}D \to D$ and the pair (r, Π) is a homomorphism of vector bundles from $\tau_D|_{\mathcal{V}D}\colon \mathcal{V}D \to D$ to $\Pi\colon D \to Z$.

Next let $\Omega^1(Z, D)$ the space of locally defined smooth differential 1-forms on Z with values in the bundle $\Pi\colon D \to Z$, which is to say the set of smooth mappings $\eta\colon \tau_Z^{-1}(Z_\eta) \to D$, where Z_η is a suitable open subset of Z, such that for every $z \in Z_\eta$ we have a bounded linear operator $\eta_z := \eta|_{T_z Z}\colon T_z Z \to D_z = \varphi^{-1}(z)$. (So the pair (η, id_Z) is a homomorphism of vector bundles from the tangent bundle $\tau_D|_{Z_\eta}$ to the bundle Π.) For the sake of simplicity we actually omit the subscript η in Z_η, as if the forms were always defined throughout Z; in fact, the algebraic operations are performed on the intersections of the domains, and so on. Similarly, we let $\Omega^0(Z, D)$ be the space of locally defined smooth sections of the vector bundle Π.

Definition 2.3. The *covariant derivative* associated with the linear connection Φ is the linear mapping $\nabla\colon \Omega^0(Z, D) \to \Omega^1(Z, D)$, defined for every $\sigma \in \Omega^0(Z, D)$ by the composition

$$\nabla\sigma\colon TZ \xrightarrow{T\sigma} TD \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{V}D \xrightarrow{r} D$$

that is, $\nabla\sigma = (r \circ \Phi) \circ T\sigma$. (The composition $r \circ \Phi$ is the so-called *connection map.*)

Proposition 2.4. *Let* $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ *and* $\widetilde{\Pi}\colon \widetilde{D} \to \widetilde{Z}$ *be vector bundles endowed with the linear connections* Φ *and* $\widetilde{\Phi}$*, with the corresponding covariant derivatives* ∇ *and* $\widetilde{\nabla}$*, respectively. Assume that* $\Theta = (\delta, \zeta)$ *is a homomorphism of vector bundles from* Π *into* $\widetilde{\Pi}$ *such that* $T\delta \circ \Phi = \widetilde{\Phi} \circ T\delta$*. If* $\sigma \in \Omega^0(Z, D)$ *and* $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \Omega^0(\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{D})$ *such that* $\delta \circ \sigma = \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \zeta$*, then* $\delta \circ \nabla\sigma = \widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\sigma} \circ T\zeta$*.*

Proof. First let $r\colon \mathcal{V}D \to D$ and $\widetilde{r}\colon \mathcal{V}\widetilde{D} \to \widetilde{D}$ be the natural mappings and note that

$$\delta \circ r = \widetilde{r} \circ T\delta. \tag{2.1}$$

In order to see why this equality holds true we need the mapping $\delta \underset{Z}{\times} \delta\colon D \underset{Z}{\times} D \to \widetilde{D} \underset{\widetilde{Z}}{\times} \widetilde{D}$ given by $(\delta \underset{Z}{\times} \delta)(x_1, x_2) = (\delta(x_1), \delta(x_2))$, which is well defined since $\widetilde{\Pi} \circ \delta = \zeta \circ \Pi$. Since δ is fiberwise linear, it follows that with the notation of Definition 2.3 we have $\delta \circ c_{x_1,x_2} = c_{\delta(x_1),\delta(x_2)}\colon \mathbf{R} \to \widetilde{D}$ for all $(x_1, x_2) \in D \underset{Z}{\times} D$. By taking the velocity vectors at $0 \in \mathbf{R}$ for these paths we get $T\delta \circ \varepsilon = \widetilde{\varepsilon} \circ (\delta \underset{Z}{\times} \delta)\colon D \underset{Z}{\times} D \to T\widetilde{D}$. Therefore $\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{-1} \circ T\delta = (\delta \underset{Z}{\times} \delta) \circ \varepsilon^{-1}$ and then, by using the obvious equality $\widetilde{r}_2 \circ (\delta \underset{Z}{\times} \delta) = \delta \circ r_2\colon D \underset{Z}{\times} D \to \widetilde{D}$, we get

$$\widetilde{r} \circ T\delta = \widetilde{r}_2 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}^{-1} \circ T\delta = \widetilde{r}_2 \circ (\delta \underset{Z}{\times} \delta) \circ \varepsilon^{-1} = \delta \circ r_2 \circ \varepsilon^{-1} = \delta \circ r$$

hence (2.1) holds true.

We now come back to the proof of the assertion. By using (2.1) and the equality $T\delta \circ \Phi = \widetilde{\Phi} \circ T\delta$ we get

$$\delta \circ (r \circ \Phi) = \widetilde{r} \circ T\delta \circ \Phi = (\widetilde{r} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}) \circ T\delta. \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand we have $\delta \circ \sigma = \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \zeta$, and therefore $T\delta \circ T\sigma = T\widetilde{\sigma} \circ T\zeta$. We then get

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\sigma} \circ T\zeta = (\widetilde{r} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}) \circ T\widetilde{\sigma} \circ T\zeta = (\widetilde{r} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}) \circ T\delta \circ T\sigma = \delta \circ (r \circ \Phi) \circ T\sigma = \delta \circ \nabla\sigma$$

where the next-to-last equality follows by (2.1), and this completes the proof. □

2.2. **Pull-backs of connections.** Pull-backs of connections on various types of finite-dimensional bundles have been studied in several papers; see for instance [NR61], [NR63], [Le68], [Sch80], [PR86]. We now establish a result that belongs to this circle of ideas and is appropriate for the applications we want to make in infinite dimensions.

First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $T\colon \mathcal{E}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ *be a continuous (anti-)linear operator between two Banach spaces* $\mathcal{E}$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$*. Let us assume that there are two closed linear subspaces* $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathcal{E}$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ *such that:*

(i) *the operator* T *induces an (anti-)isomorphism* $T|_{\mathcal{F}}\colon\mathcal{F}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$*;*

(ii) $\operatorname{Ran}\widetilde{P}=\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$, *for some projection* $\widetilde{P}\colon\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$*.*

Then there exists a unique projection $P\in\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})$ *such that* $\operatorname{Ran}P=\mathcal{F}$ *and the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E} \arrow[r, "T"] \arrow[d, "P"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \arrow[d, "\widetilde{P}"] \\
\mathcal{E} \arrow[r, "T"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Existence: Define

$$P:=(T|_{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}\circ\widetilde{P}\circ T\in\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{2.3}$$

It is clear that Ran$P$ and moreover $P|_{\mathcal{F}}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$, hence $P\circ P=P$. Then the commutativity of the diagram is satisfied by the construction of P.

Uniqueness: Assume that $P_1\in\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is another operator satisfying the properties of the statement. Then for arbitrary $x\in\mathcal{E}$ we have

$$T(P_1x)=\widetilde{P}Tx=T(Px).$$

Since $P_1x, Px\in\mathcal{F}$ and $T|_{\mathcal{F}}\colon\mathcal{F}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism, it then follows that $P_1x=Px$. Thus $P_1=P$ and we are done. ☐

Proposition 2.6. *Let* $\varphi\colon M\to Z$ *and* $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon\widetilde{M}\to\widetilde{Z}$ *be fiber bundles modeled on Banach spaces, and let* $\Theta=(\delta,\zeta)$ *be a bundle homomorphism, that is, the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & \widetilde{M} \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\varphi}"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "\zeta"] & \widetilde{Z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative and both δ *and* ζ *are smooth. In addition, assume that for every* $s\in Z$ *the mapping* δ *induces a diffeomorphism of the fiber* $M_s:=\varphi^{-1}(\{s\})$ *over the fiber* $\widetilde{M}_{\zeta(s)}:=\widetilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\zeta(s))$*.*

Then for every connection $\widetilde{\Phi}$ *on the bundle* $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon\widetilde{M}\to\widetilde{Z}$ *there exists a unique connection* Φ *on the bundle* $\varphi\colon M\to Z$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
TM \arrow[r, "T\delta"] \arrow[d, "\Phi"'] & T\widetilde{M} \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Phi}"] \\
TM \arrow[r, "T\delta"] & T\widetilde{M}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Moreover, if both $\varphi\colon M\to Z$ *and* $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon\widetilde{M}\to\widetilde{Z}$ *are principal (vector) bundles, the pair* $\Theta=(\delta,\zeta)$ *is a homomorphism of principal bundles (or of vector bundles, and in this case*

δ can be linear) bundles, and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is a principal (linear or antilinear) connection, then so is Φ.

Proof. We have for every $x \in M$ the continuous operator $T_x\delta\colon T_xM \to T_{\delta(x)}\widetilde{M}$ (which is either linear or antilinear), and also the relations $T_x(M_{\varphi(x)}) = \mathcal{V}_x \hookrightarrow T_xM$ and

$$T_{\delta(x)}(\widetilde{M}_{\zeta(\varphi(x))}) = T_{\delta(x)}(\widetilde{M}_{\widetilde{\varphi}(\delta(x))}) = \mathcal{V}_{\delta(x)}\widetilde{M} \hookrightarrow T_{\delta(x)}\widetilde{M}.$$

Since $\delta|_{M_{\Pi(x)}}\colon M_{\Pi(x)} \to \widetilde{M}_{\zeta(\Pi(x))}$ is a diffeomorphism by hypothesis, it thus follows that the operator $T_x\delta$ induces a (anti-)linear isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_xM \to \mathcal{V}_{\delta(x)}\widetilde{M}$. Now Lemma 2.5 applies and it follows that there exists a unique idempotent operator $\Phi_x\colon T_xM \to T_xM$ such that $\operatorname{Ran}\Phi_x = \mathcal{V}_xM$ and $(T_x\delta) \circ \Phi_x = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\delta(x)} \circ (T_x\delta)$. In fact it is defined by

$$\Phi_x := (T_x\delta|_{\mathcal{V}_xM})^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\delta(x)} \circ T_x\delta \qquad (x \in M).$$

If we put together the operators Φ_x with $x \in M$, we get the map $\Phi\colon TM \to TM$ we were looking for. What still remains to be done is to check that Φ is smooth. Since this is a local property, we may assume that both bundles Π and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ are trivial. Let S and $\widetilde{S}$ be their typical fibers, respectively. Then $M = Z \times S$ and $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{Z} \times \widetilde{S}$, hence $TM = TM \times TS$ and $T\widetilde{M} = T\widetilde{Z} \times T\widetilde{S}$. The fact that $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is a connection means that for every $(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{k}) \in \widetilde{Z} \times \widetilde{S}$ we have an idempotent operator $\widetilde{\Phi}_{(\widetilde{z},\widetilde{k})}$ on $T_{\widetilde{z}}\widetilde{Z} \times T_{\widetilde{k}}\widetilde{S}$ with $\operatorname{Ran}\widetilde{\Phi}_{(\widetilde{z},\widetilde{k})} = \{0\} \times T_{\widetilde{k}}\widetilde{S}$.

Moreover, we have the smooth map $\delta\colon Z \times S \to \widetilde{Z} \times \widetilde{S}$ for which there exists a smooth map $d\colon Z \times S \to \widetilde{S}$ such that

$$\delta(z,k) = (\zeta(z), d(z,k)), \quad (z \in Z, k \in S).$$

The hypothesis that δ is a fiberwise diffeomorphism is equivalent to the fact that for every $z \in Z$ we have the diffeomorphism $d(z,\ \cdot\ )\colon S \to \widetilde{S}$. It follows by (2.3) that, for arbitrary $(z,k) \in Z \times S$,

$$\Phi_{(z,k)} = T_k(d(z,\ \cdot\ ))^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\delta(z,k)} \circ T_{(z,k)}\delta \in \operatorname{End}(T_zZ \times T_kS)$$

which clearly shows that $\Phi\colon TZ \times TS \to TZ \times TS$ is smooth. (Note that the smoothness of the mapping $(z,k) \mapsto T_k(d(z,\ \cdot\ ))^{-1}$ is ensured by the fact that we are working with Banach manifolds.)

The remainder of the proof is straightforward. $\square$

Definition 2.7. In the setting of Proposition 2.6 we say that the connection Φ is the *pull-back of the connection* $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and we denote $\Phi = \Theta^*(\widetilde{\Phi})$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}\colon \widetilde{D} \to \widetilde{Z}$ be vector bundles. Assume that $\Theta = (\delta, \zeta)$ is a homomorphism of vector bundles from Π into $\widetilde{\Pi}$ such that for every $s \in Z$ the mapping δ induces an isomorphism of the fiber $D_s := \varphi^{-1}(\{s\})$ over the fiber $\widetilde{D}_{\zeta(s)} := \widetilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\zeta(s))$. Consider any linear connection $\widetilde{\Phi}$ on the vector bundle Π and its pull-back $\Phi = \Theta^*(\widetilde{\Phi})$ on the vector bundle $\widetilde{\Pi}$, with the corresponding covariant derivatives ∇ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$, respectively. If we have $\sigma \in \Omega^0(Z,D)$ and $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \Omega^0(\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{D})$ such that $\delta \circ \sigma = \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \zeta$, then $\delta \circ \nabla\sigma = \widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\sigma} \circ T\zeta$.*

Proof. Use Propositions 2.6 and 2.4. $\square$

3. Reductive structures for Banach-Lie groups

In this section we introduce the abstract notion and example which, as inherent in universal bundles, will enable us in Section 5 to define connections associated with reproducing kernels on general vector bundles.

3.1. **Linear connections induced by reductive structures.** We first make the definition of the reductive structures we are interested in. Several versions of this notion showed up in the literature of differential geometry in infinite dimensions; see for instance [MR92], [ACS95], [CG99], and the references therein.

Definition 3.1. A *reductive structure* is a triple $(G_A, G_B; E)$ where G_A is a (real or complex) Banach-Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_A$, G_B is a Banach-Lie subgroup of G_A with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_B$, and $E\colon \mathfrak{g}_A \to \mathfrak{g}_A$ is a continuous linear map with the following properties: $E \circ E = E$; $\operatorname{Ran} E = \mathfrak{g}_B$; and for every $g \in G_B$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{g}_A \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}_{G_A}(g)"] \arrow[d, "E"'] & \mathfrak{g}_A \arrow[d, "E"] \\
\mathfrak{g}_A \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}_{G_A}(g)"] & \mathfrak{g}_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

A *morphism of reductive structures* from $(G_A, G_B; E)$ to $(\widetilde{G}_A, \widetilde{G}_B; \widetilde{E})$ is a homomorphism of Banach-Lie groups $\alpha\colon G_A \to \widetilde{G}_A$ such that $\alpha(G_B) \subseteq \widetilde{G}_B$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{g}_A \arrow[r, "d\alpha"] \arrow[d, "E"'] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A \arrow[d, "\widetilde{E}"] \\
\mathfrak{g}_A \arrow[r, "d\alpha"] & \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. For instance, a family of automorphisms of any reductive structure $(G_A, G_B; E)$ is provided by $\alpha_g\colon x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$, $G_A \to G_A$ $(g \in G_B)$.

We will see in Theorem 3.2 below that if ρ is a uniformly continuous representation from G_B on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_B$, then any reductive structure for G_A and G_B as above gives rise to a connection on the homogeneous vector bundle $D = \mathrm{G}_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ induced by ρ. In order to make the statement, we first note that, on account of Remark 2.2, the tangent bundle $\tau_D\colon TD \to D$ can be described as the mapping

$$\tau_D\colon (G_A \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_{G_A}} \mathfrak{g}_A) \times_{(G_B \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_{G_B}} \mathfrak{g}_B)} (\mathcal{H}_B \oplus \mathcal{H}_B) \to G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B$$

given by $[((g,X),(f,h))] \mapsto [(g,f)]$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (G_A, G_B, E) be a reductive structure and let us also assume that $\rho\colon G_B \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is a uniformly continuous representation. Then the homogeneous vector bundle $\Pi\colon D = G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ has a linear connection $\Phi_E\colon TD \to TD$ given by*

$$[((g,X),(f,h))] \mapsto [((g,E(X)),(f,h))] = [((g,0),(f,d\rho(E(X))f+h))].$$

Proof. First we check that the equality in the image of Φ holds. Take an arbitrary element $(u,Y) \in G_B \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_{G_B}} \mathfrak{g}_B$. Since

$$d\rho(Y) = \rho(u^{-1}) d\rho(\mathrm{Ad}_{G_B}(u)Y)\rho(u),$$

it follows from the matrix expression of $T\rho(u,Y)$ collected in Remark 2.2 that

$$T\rho(u,Y)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(u^{-1}) & 0 \\ -\rho(u^{-1})d\rho(\mathrm{Ad}_{G_B}(u)Y) & \rho(u^{-1}) \end{pmatrix},$$

for every $u \in G_B$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_B$. Hence, if $(g,X) \in G_A \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_{G_A}} \mathfrak{g}_A$ we have

$$(g,E(X)) \cdot (u,Y) = (g\,u, \mathrm{Ad}_{G_B}(u^{-1})E(X) + Y)$$

and

$$T\rho(u,Y)^{-1} \cdot (f,h) = (\rho(u^{-1})f, -\rho(u^{-1})d\rho(\mathrm{Ad}_{G_B}(u)Y)f + \rho(u^{-1})h).$$

Then the equality of equivalence classes in the definition of Φ_E follows just taking $u = \mathbf{1}_B$ and $Y = -E(X)$.

Analogously, it is not difficult to check that the mapping Φ_E is well defined. In effect, for (g, X) and (u, Y) as above we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((g\,u, E(\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})X) + Y), (T\rho)(u, Y)^{-1}(f, h))\\
&\qquad\qquad = ((g\,u, \mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})E(X) + Y), (T\rho)(u, Y)^{-1}(f, h))\\
&\qquad\qquad = ((g, E(X)) \cdot (u, Y), (T\rho)(u, Y)^{-1}(f, h))\\
&\qquad\qquad \sim ((a, E(X)), (f, h)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the first equality property (3) of Definition 3.1.

The fact that Φ is smooth follows since $(g, X, f, h) \mapsto (g, E(X), f, h)$ is a smooth map on $G_A \times \mathfrak{g}_A \times \mathcal{H}_B \times \mathcal{H}_B$, and the corresponding quotient map

$$(G_A \times \mathfrak{g}_A) \times (\mathcal{H}_B \times \mathcal{H}_B) \to (G_A \ltimes_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}_A) \times_{(G_B \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}_B)} (\mathcal{H}_B \oplus \mathcal{H}_B) = TD$$

is a submersion (see e.g., [KM97, Th. 37.12]).

Finally, the connection properties are readily checked. □

Definition 3.3. The connection Φ_E constructed in Theorem 3.2 will be called the *linear connection induced by the reductive structure* $(G_A, G_B; E)$.

Remark 3.4. In the definition of the connection Φ_E, the expression

$$\Phi_E\left([((g, X), (f, h))]\right) = [((g, E(X)), (f, h))]$$

reflects the fact that Φ_E is a linear connection on the bundle $\Pi\colon G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ induced by the principal connection E on the principal bundle $G_A \to G_A/G_B$. Complementarily, the presentation

$$\Phi_E\left([((g, X), (f, h))]\right) = [((g, 0), (f, d\rho(E(X))f + h))]$$

emphasizes on the fact that the range of the connection Φ_E lies in the vertical subbundle of $T(G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B)$.

We will now show that the reductive structures and pullbacks of connections are compatible, in the sense that connections induced by reductive structures are invariant under the pullback action. Specifically, let $\alpha\colon G_A \to \widetilde{G}_A$ be a morphism of reductive structures from (G_A, G_B, E) to $(\widetilde{G}_A, \widetilde{G}_B, \widetilde{E})$, and let $\widetilde{\rho}_B\colon \widetilde{G}_B \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ a uniformly continuous representation. Define the representation $\rho_B := \widetilde{\rho}_B \circ \alpha|_{G_B}$. Thus we can construct the homogeneous vector bundles $\Pi\colon D = G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}\colon \widetilde{D} = \widetilde{G}_A \times_{\widetilde{G}_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to \widetilde{G}_A/\widetilde{G}_B$ carrying the linear connections Φ_E and $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{E}}$, respectively, which are induced by the corresponding reductive structures (see Definition 3.3).

Proposition 3.5. *In the above setting, if we define*

$$\zeta\colon gG_B \mapsto \alpha(g)G_B,\ G_A/G_B \to \widetilde{G}_A/\widetilde{G}_B$$

and

$$\delta\colon [(g, f)] \mapsto [(\alpha(g), f)],\ G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to \widetilde{G}_A \times_{\widetilde{G}_B} \mathcal{H}_B,$$

then the pair $\Theta = (\delta, \zeta)$ *is a morphism of the bundle* Π *into* $\widetilde{\Pi}$ *and* $\Theta^*(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{E}}) = \Phi_E$.

Proof. The tangent map $T\delta\colon TD \to T\widetilde{D}$ is given by

$$T\delta\colon [((g, X), (f, h))] \mapsto [((\alpha(g), d\alpha(X)), (f, h))],\ TD \to T\widetilde{D}.$$

Therefore, by Definition 3.2 we get

$$((T\delta) \circ \Phi_E)([((g, X), (f, h))]) = T\delta\left([((g, E(X)), (f, h))]\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= [((\alpha(g), d\alpha(E(X))), (f,h))] \\
&= [((\alpha(g), \widetilde{E}(d\alpha(X))), (f,h))] \\
&= \widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{E}}\,([((\alpha(g), d\alpha(X)), (f,h))]) \\
&= (\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{E}} \circ T\delta)\,([((g,X),(f,h))]),
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $g \in G_A$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_A$, $f, h \in \mathcal{H}_B$, where the third equality follows since α is a morphism of reductive structures. Thus $\Theta^*(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{E}}) = \Phi_E$ by Definition 2.7. $\square$

In the following remark we sketch a method for computing the covariant derivative for the linear connection induced by a reductive structure in the particular case when the construction of the homogeneous vector bundle involves the restriction of a representation of the larger group. This computation in the finite-dimensional situation can be found in [BR90]. In the special case when G_A is the group of invertible elements of some associative Banach algebra, the Maurer-Cartan form introduced below was also constructed in [MR92, subsect. 3.1].

Remark 3.6. Let $(G_A, G_B; E)$ be a reductive structure and denote $\mathfrak{m} = \operatorname{Ker} E$, so that $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g}_B \dotplus \mathfrak{m}$ and $\operatorname{Ad}_{G_A}(G_B)\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}$.

(1) There exists a natural isomorphism of vector bundles $T(G_A/G_B) \simeq G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{m}$ (see for instance the proof of [BG08, Cor. 5.5]), where the latter homogeneous bundle is defined by using the adjoint action of G_B on $\mathfrak{m}$. It follows that the function

$$\beta\colon G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{g}_A, \quad \beta([(g,X)]) = \operatorname{Ad}_{G_A}(g)X$$

can be thought of as a $\mathfrak{g}_A$-valued differential 1-form $\beta \in \Omega^1(G_A/G_B, \mathfrak{g}_A)$, to be called the *Maurer-Cartan form* of the reductive structure under consideration. This vector-valued differential form essentially comes from an embedding of the tangent vector bundle $T(G_A/G_B)$ into the trivial vector bundle $(G_A/G_B) \times \mathfrak{g}_A$ over G_A/G_B. Specifically, we have $T(G_A/G_B) \simeq G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{g}_A$ and also the G_A-equivariant trivialization of vector bundles $G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{g}_A \simeq (G_A/G_B) \times \mathfrak{g}_A$, $[(g,X)] \mapsto (gG_B, \operatorname{Ad}_{G_A}(g)X)$.

(2) A similar trivialization can be set up for any homogeneous vector bundle whose construction involves the restriction of a representation of the larger group. More precisely, if $\rho\colon G_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E})$ is a uniformly continuous representation on some Banach space $\mathbf{E}$, then we have the (inverse to each other) isomorphisms of vector bundles over G_A/G_B,

$$G_A \times_{G_B} \mathbf{E} \simeq (G_A/G_B) \times \mathbf{E}$$

given by $[(g,v)] \mapsto (gG_B, \rho(g)v)$ and $(gG_B, v) \mapsto [(g, \rho(g)^{-1}v)]$, respectively. Since there exist one-to-one correspondences between the smooth $\mathbf{E}$-valued functions or differential forms on G_A/G_B and the sections or differential forms with values in the trivial vector bundle $(G_A/G_B) \times \mathbf{E} \to G_A/G_B$, we can use the above isomorphisms of vector bundles in order to define the covariant derivative of $\mathbf{E}$-valued functions

$$\nabla\colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(G_A/G_B, \mathbf{E}) \to \Omega^1(G_A/G_B, \mathbf{E}) \tag{3.1}$$

by means of the covariant derivative induced by the reductive structure $(G_A, G_B; E)$.

(3) For every $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G_A/G_B, \mathbf{E})$ we denote by $(\mathrm{d}\rho \circ \beta).f \in \Omega^1(G_A/G_B, \mathbf{E})$ the differential form defined for every $z \in G_A/G_B$ and $X \in T_z(G_A/G_B)$ by

$$((\mathrm{d}\rho \circ \beta).f)(X) = (\mathrm{d}\rho(\beta_z(X)))(f(z)),$$

where $\beta_z := \beta|_{T_z(G_A/G_B)}\colon T_z(G_A/G_B) \to \mathfrak{g}_A$ and $\mathrm{d}\rho\colon \mathfrak{g}_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E})$ is the derived representation. The method of proof of [BR90, Prop. 1.1], which extends directly to the present infinite-dimensional case (see also [KM97, Th. 37.23(9) and Th. 37.30–31]), leads to the following conclusion. If $\rho\colon G_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E})$ is a representation as above, then the covariant derivative (3.1) can be computed for every $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G_A/G_B, \mathbf{E})$ by the formula $\nabla f = \mathrm{d}f - (\mathrm{d}\rho \circ \beta).f$, that is,

$$(\nabla f)([(g,X)]) = (\mathrm{d}f)([(g,X)]) - \rho(g)\mathrm{d}\rho(X)\rho(g)^{-1}f(gG_B)$$

for all $g \in G_A$ and $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, which follows by also using the expression of β given in item (1) above and the fact that $d\rho(\mathrm{Ad_{G_A}(g)X}) = \rho(\mathrm{g})\mathrm{d}\rho(\mathrm{X})\rho(\mathrm{g})^{-1}$ for all $g \in G_A$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_A$.

3.2. **Some reductive structures related to C^*-algebras.**

Next we give some key examples of reductive structures and morphisms between them.

Example 3.7. *Lie group representations.*

Let $(G_A, G_B; E)$ be a reductive structure and let $\rho_A\colon G_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ be a uniformly continuous representation such that $\rho_A|_{G_B}$ has a non-trivial invariant closed subspace $\mathcal{H}_B \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$. Denote $\rho_B(g) := \rho_A(g)|_{\mathcal{H}_B}$ for every $g \in G_B$ and define

$\widetilde{G}_A = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, the linear group of invertible operators on $\mathcal{H}_A$;

$\widetilde{G}_B = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_A) \cap \{p\}'$, the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ formed by the operators commuting with the orthogonal projection p on $\mathcal{H}_B$;

$\widetilde{E}\colon \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_B = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \cap \{p\}'$, where for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ we take $\widetilde{E}(X) = pXp + (\mathbf{1}-p)X(\mathbf{1}-p)$.

If we *assume* that $\widetilde{E} \circ d\rho_A = d\rho_B \circ E$ then the mapping $\rho_A\colon G_A \to \widetilde{G}_A$ is a morphism of reductive structures from $(G_A, G_B; E)$ to $(\widetilde{G}_A, \widetilde{G}_B; \widetilde{E})$.

Notice that if ρ_A is unitary the example also works taking $\widetilde{G}_A = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, the unitary group on $\mathcal{H}_A$, $\widetilde{G}_B = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}_A) \cap \{p\}'$. In this case, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_A = \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) : X^* = -X\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_B = \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) : X^* = -X \text{ and } pX = Xp\}$.

Example 3.8. *Conditional expectations on C^*-algebras.*

Let A and B be two unital C^*-algebras, with B a C^*-subalgebra of A, for which there exists a conditional expectation $E\colon A \to B$. This means that E is a linear projection on A with $\operatorname{Ran} E = B$ and norm one. By Tomiyama's theorem we have moreover that

$$E(b_1ab_2) = b_1E(a)b_2, \quad (a \in A; b_1, b_2 \in B),$$

and additionally $E(\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbf{1}_B\,(= \mathbf{1}_A)$. Let G_Λ denote for $\Lambda \in \{A, B\}$ the Banach-Lie group of invertibles in Λ endowed with its norm topology. Then the Lie algebra of G_Λ is $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda = \Lambda$, with the element X of $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda$ obtained by derivation of the path e^{tX} at $t = 0$. Since in this C^* case we have that $Ad(g)a = gag^{-1}$ for every $g \in G_A$ and $a \in A$, the expectation E satisfies the conditions of Definition 3.1, so that (G_A, G_B, E) is a reductive structure.

If for two triples $(A, B; E)$, $(\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}; \widetilde{E})$ as above there is a bounded homomorphism $\phi\colon A \to \widetilde{A}$ satisfying $\phi \circ E = \widetilde{E} \circ \phi$ then $\alpha := \phi|_{G_A}$ defines a morphism between the reductive structures (G_A, G_B, E) and $(G_{\widetilde{A}}, G_{\widetilde{B}}, \widetilde{E})$.

Example 3.9. *Completely positive maps.*

Let A, $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a unital C^*-algebra and a complex Hilbert space, respectively. A unital linear mapping $\Psi\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is said to be completely positive if

$$\Psi_n := \Psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_n(\mathbf{C})}\colon M_n(A) = A \otimes M_n(\mathbf{C}) \to M_n(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0))$$

is positive for all $n \geq 1$. It is well known that every conditional expectation is a completely positive map.

By the Stinespring procedure, for a given completely positive map $\Psi\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, there are a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, an isometry $V\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_0$ and a unital $*$-representation of

C^*-algebras $\lambda\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is induced by the left multiplication in A, such that

$$\Psi(a) = V^*\lambda(a)V \qquad (a \in A).$$

Then the representation λ is called a Stinespring dilation or representation associated with Ψ. Details can be found for instance in [Pa02].

Assume that there is a conditional expectation $E\colon A \to A$, with $B := E(A)$, such that $\Psi \circ E = \Psi$. In [BG08], it is proven that if $\sigma_A\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\sigma_B\colon B \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ are the minimal Stinespring representations associated with Ψ and $\Psi|_B$, respectively, as above then $\mathcal{H}_B \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$ (and the orthogonal projection $P\colon \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$ is induced by $E\colon A \to B$ in the Stinespring construction). Thus we get the connection on the homogeneous bundle $G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ yielded by E as in Definition 3.3.

Example 3.10. *Universal bundles.*

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space. For short, put $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$. The *Grassmannian manifold* of $\mathcal{H}$ is the set $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{S} \text{ closed linear subspace of } \mathcal{H}\}$. It is well known that it has a structure of complex Banach manifold (see also [DG01]). The set $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(\mathcal{S}, x) \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H} \mid x \in \mathcal{S}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{H}$ is also a complex Banach manifold, and the mapping $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}\colon\ (\mathcal{S}, x) \mapsto \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on which $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ act holomorphically (non-transitively on the base $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ if $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$) through the inclusion $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$; see [Up85, Ex. 3.11 and 6.20]. We call $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ the *universal (tautological) vector bundle* associated with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A canonical connection can be defined on that bundle, which relies on the preceding examples. To see this, let us consider the connected components of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$.

For every $\mathcal{S} \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote by $p_{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{S}$ the corresponding orthogonal projection. Take $\mathcal{S}_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ and put $p := p_{\mathcal{S}_0}$. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the orbit of $\mathcal{S}_0$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ under the collineation action by $\mathcal{G}$. The orbit $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ coincides with the unitary orbit of $\mathcal{S}_0$ and with the connected component of $\mathcal{S}_0$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$, so it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) &:= \{g\mathcal{S}_0 \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{u\mathcal{S}_0 \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\} \\ &= \{\mathcal{S} \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \mathcal{S}_0 \text{ and } \dim \mathcal{S}^\perp = \dim \mathcal{S}_0^\perp\} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{U}/(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0) \times \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)) = \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}(p) \simeq \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}([p]),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{U}(p) := \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid u\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_0\}$ and $\mathcal{G}([p]) := \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_0\}$. (See for instance [Up85, Prop. 23.1] or [BG09, Lemma 4.3].)

Set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(\mathcal{S}, x) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \mathcal{S} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})\}$. The *universal vector bundle at* $\mathcal{S}_0$ is the vector bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}\colon \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ obtained by restriction of $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$. It is also Hermitian and holomorphic. The maps $\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}([p])} \mathcal{S}_0 \ni [(g, x)] \mapsto (g\mathcal{S}_0, gx) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{U}(p)} \mathcal{S}_0 \ni [(u, x)] \mapsto (u\mathcal{S}_0, ux) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ induce biholomorphic diffeomorphisms between $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}\colon \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ and the vector bundles $\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}([p])} \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}([p])$ and $\mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{U}(p)} \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}(p)$, respectively. See [BG09, Prop. 4.5].

On the other hand, the mapping

$$E_p\colon X \mapsto E_p(X) := pXp + (1-p)X(1-p),\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \{p\}',$$

where $\{p\}'$ is the commutant subspace of p in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, is a conditional expectation, as it is its restriction $E_p\colon \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H}) \to \{p\}' \cap \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ to the Banach-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H}) := \{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : X^* = -X\}$ of $\mathcal{U}$. Thus, applying Theorem 3.2 to the reductive structure $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}(p); E_p\,|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})})$, we get defined component by component the following canonical connection on the universal bundle $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 3.11. In the framework of Example 3.10, the *universal connection* on the tautological bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}\colon \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ is the mapping $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}_0}\colon T(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})) \to T(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}))$

given by

$$[((u,X),(x,y))]\mapsto[((u,E_p(X)),(x,y))]=[((u,0),(x,E_p(X)x+y))],$$

for $u\in\mathcal{G}$, $X\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $x,y\in\mathcal{S}_0$.

Universal connections on finite-dimensional bundles were studied in several papers including for instance [NR61], [NR63], [Sch80], and [PR86]. It turns out that the Grassmannian objects that we are considering here fit also well in the setting given in the above Example 3.9. In fact, the compression mapping

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{S}_0}\colon X\mapsto p\circ X\circ\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0},\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}_0),$$

where $\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ is the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_0\hookrightarrow\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, is a unital completely positive mapping satisfying $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}_0}\circ E_p=\Psi_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ and such that the vector bundle defined by $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),\{p\}',E_p;\Psi_{\mathcal{S}_0})$ as in Example 3.9 coincides with $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}$.

We now provide a formula for the covariant derivative corresponding to the universal connection on a tautological bundle, which will be needed in the proof of a more general result of this type, given in Theorem 5.3 below. Finite-dimensional versions of these results can be found in [Le68, Ch. III] and [PR86].

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{S}_0\in\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\sigma\in\Omega^0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}),\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a smooth section, then there exists a unique smooth function $f_\sigma\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}),\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(\cdot)=(\,\cdot\,,f_\sigma(\cdot))$ and we have*

$$\nabla\sigma(X)=(\mathcal{S},p_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{d}f_\sigma(X))),\quad \mathcal{S}\in\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}),X\in T_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})),$$

where $p_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. We use the tautological representations

$$\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)\overset{\rho_0}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}_0)\text{ and }\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})\overset{\rho_1}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

for constructing the homogeneous vector bundles

$$\Pi_0\colon D_0:=\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})\times_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)}\mathcal{S}_0\to\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp))\text{ and}$$
$$\Pi_1\colon D_1:=\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})\times_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)}\mathcal{H}\to\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)).$$

Then Remark 3.6(2) provides a $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\delta_{\mathcal{S}_0}\colon\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})\times_{\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)}\mathcal{H}\to(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)))\times\mathcal{H}$$

which together with the natural diffeomorphism $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_0}\colon\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp))\to\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ provide an isomorphism between Π_1 and the trivial bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathcal{H}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$. Also, Π_0 is a $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-homogeneous subbundle of Π_1 and the pair $(\delta_{\mathcal{S}_0},\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_0})$ gives by restriction an isomorphism from Π_0 onto the tautological bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}\colon\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})\to\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$.

Now for $j=0,1$ let $\Phi_j\colon T(D_j)\to T(D_j)$ denote the linear connection induced by the reductive structure $(U(\mathcal{H}),\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp);E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}})$ and ∇_j be the corresponding covariant derivative. It easily follows by Theorem 3.2 that $\Phi_1|_{T(D_0)}=\Phi_0$, and then Proposition 2.4 shows that ∇_1 agrees with ∇_0. By taking into account the aforementioned isomorphisms of homogeneous vector bundles, it then follows that the covariant derivative ∇ in the tautological vector bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{H}}$ agrees with the covariant derivative $\widetilde{\nabla}$ in the larger trivial bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathcal{H}\to\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$, both these covariant derivatives being the ones induced by the reductive structure $(U(\mathcal{H}),\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp);E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}})$. Consequently it suffices to compute the action of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on the sections of the subbundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{H}}$, and to this end we use Remark 3.6(3).

If we write the operators on $\mathcal{H}$ as 2×2 block matrices corresponding to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{S}_0\oplus\mathcal{S}_0^\perp$, then

$$\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})\cap\operatorname{Ker}E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}}=\Bigl\{\begin{pmatrix}0 & R\\ -R^* & 0\end{pmatrix}\mid R\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}_0,\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)\Bigr\}$$

hence for every $V\in\mathfrak{m}$ we have $V\mathcal{S}_0\subseteq\mathcal{S}_0^\perp$. Therefore, if we denote by $\beta\colon T(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}))\simeq T(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/(\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp)))\to\mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H})$ the Maurer-Cartan form as in Remark 3.6(3) for the reductive structure $(U(\mathcal{H}),\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\times\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0^\perp);E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}})$, then it follows that for every $X\in T_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}))$ we have $(\mathrm{d}\rho_1\circ\beta)(X)\mathcal{S}_0\subseteq\mathcal{S}_0^\perp$. On the other hand for $\sigma(\cdot)=(\cdot,f_\sigma(\cdot))\in\Omega^0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}),\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}))$ as in the statement we have $(\widetilde{\nabla}\sigma)(X)=(\mathcal{S}_0,(\widetilde{\nabla}f_\sigma)(X))\in\{\mathcal{S}_0\}\times\mathcal{S}_0$, hence the equality provided by Remark 3.6(3)

$$\mathrm{d}f_\sigma(X)=(\widetilde{\nabla}f_\sigma)(X)+(\mathrm{d}\rho_1\circ\beta)(X)f_\sigma(\mathcal{S}_0)$$

actually gives the decomposition corresponding to the orthogonal direct sum $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{S}_0\oplus\mathcal{S}_0^\perp$. Therefore $(\widetilde{\nabla}f_\sigma)(X)=p_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathrm{d}f_\sigma(X))$, and this proves the assertion for $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_0$ since we have seen above that ∇ agrees with $\widetilde{\nabla}$.

The formula for the covariant derivative ∇ at another point $\mathcal{S}\in\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ then follows by using the transitive action of $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ and the $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$-equivariance property of the Maurer-Cartan form β, and this completes the proof. ☐

We will return to Examples 3.9–3.10 above in Section 6.

4. Classifying morphisms for reproducing kernels

4.1. **Hermitian-like structures, reproducing kernels, and universality properties.** In [BG11], several categories of vector bundles and associated reproducing kernels have been introduced, together with the corresponding universal objects of such categories. Some universality theorems have been also established by using the notion of pullback morphisms. These results allow us to recover reproducing kernels on vector bundles from the tautological ones canonically associated with universal Grassmannian vector bundles. In the present section, we extend and refine one of these theorems, which includes the Hermitian case.

The notion of like-Hermitian vector bundle was introduced in [BG08] in order to study geometric models for representations of Banach-Lie groups and C^*-algebras in close relationship with complexifications of those bundles. We next give here a definition of like-Hermitian bundles which are slightly more general, and that we will refer to in the sequel as having a *Hermitian-like* structure.

Definition 4.1. Let Z be a real or complex Banach manifold with an involutive diffeomorphism $z\mapsto z^{-*}$, $Z\to Z$, that is, $(z^{-*})^{-*}=z$ for all $z\in Z$. A *Hermitian-like structure* on a smooth vector bundle $\Pi\colon D\to Z$ is a family $\{(\cdot\mid\cdot)_{z,z^{-*}}\}_{z\in Z}$ with the following properties:

(a) For every $z\in Z$, $(\cdot\mid\cdot)_{z,z^{-*}}\colon D_z\times D_{z^{-*}}\to\mathbb{C}$ is a sesquilinear or bilinear strong duality pairing.

(b) For all $z\in Z$, $\xi\in D_z$, and $\eta\in D_{z^{-*}}$ we have

$$\overline{(\xi\mid\eta)_{z,z^{-*}}}=(\eta\mid\xi)_{z^{-*},z},\ \text{ if the pairing is sesquilinear,}$$

or

$$(\xi\mid\eta)_{z,z^{-*}}=(\eta\mid\xi)_{z^{-*},z},\ \text{ if the pairing is bilinear.}$$

(c) If V is an arbitrary open subset of Z, and $\Psi_V\colon V\times\mathcal{E}\to\Pi^{-1}(V)$ and $\Psi_{V^{-*}}\colon V^{-*}\times\mathcal{E}\to\Pi^{-1}(V^{-*})$ are trivializations (whose typical fiber is a complex Banach space $\mathcal{E}$) of the vector bundle Π over V and V^{-*} ($:=\{z^{-*}\mid z\in V\}$), respectively, then the function $(z,x,y)\mapsto(\Psi_V(z,x)\mid\Psi_{V^{-*}}(z^{-*},y))_{z,z^{-*}}$, $V\times\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}\to\mathbb{C}$ is smooth.

A *Hermitian-like vector bundle* is a vector bundle endowed with a Hermitian-like structure.

Condition (a) in Definition 4.1 means that the functional $(\cdot\mid\cdot)_{z,z^{-*}}\colon D_z\times D_{z^{-*}}\to\mathbb{C}$ is continuous, is linear in the first variable and antilinear in the second variable when the functional is sesquilinear, and both the mappings

$$\xi\mapsto(\xi\mid\cdot)_{z,z^{-*}},\quad D_z\to(\overline{D}_{z^{-*}})^*,\qquad\text{and}\qquad\eta\mapsto(\cdot\mid\eta)_{z,z^{-*}},\quad\overline{D}_{z^{-*}}\to D_z^*,$$

are (not necessarily isometric) isomorphisms of complex Banach spaces in this case. (Here we denote, for any complex Banach space $\mathcal{Z}$, by $\mathcal{Z}^*$ its dual (complex) Banach space and by $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ the complex-conjugate Banach space.) If $(\cdot\mid\cdot)_{z,z^{-*}}\colon D_z\times D_{z^{-*}}\to\mathbb{C}$ is bilinear then the properties are similar with the only exception that in the duality one must remove complex conjugation.

The difference between Definition 4.1 and the definition of like-Hermitian vector bundle given in [BG09] and [BG11] is that we now let the pairing $(\cdot\mid\cdot)_{z,z^{-*}}$ to be bilinear. Of course, usual Hermitian vector bundles are particular cases of the above definition just taking $-*=\mathrm{id}_Z$.

Hermitian-like vector bundles may well enjoy the company of suitable reproducing kernels.

Definition 4.2. Let $\Pi\colon D\to Z$ be a Hermitian-like bundle. A *reproducing* $(-*)$*-kernel* on Π is a family of mappings

$$K(s,t)\colon D_{t^{-*}}\to D_s,\quad(s,t\in Z)$$

which are linear if the Hermitian-like structure is sesquilinear (respectively, they are conjugate-linear if that structure is bilinear), and such that it is $(-*)$-positive definite in the following sense: For every $n\geq1$ and $t_j\in Z$, $\eta_j\in D_{t_j^{-*}}$ $(j=1,\ldots,n)$,

$$\sum_{j,l=1}^{n}\big(K(t_l,t_j)\eta_j\mid\eta_l\big)_{t_l,t_l^{-*}}\geq0.$$

Here $p_1,p_2\colon Z\times Z\to Z$ are the natural projection mappings.

Here the main difference we have in relation with the definition of reproducing $(-*)$-kernel given in [BG09] and [BG11] is that the domain of the bounded linear mapping $K(s,t)$ is supposed to be now $D_{t^{-*}}$, whereas it was D_t in the quoted references. We prefer to take domains $D_{t^{-*}}$ in the present work to better reflect formally formulae and properties concerning classical reproducing kernels. The theory developed for $(-*)$-kernels in [BG09] and [BG11] is readily adapted to domains D_{t-*}. Throughout the paper we will use properties of the kernels in accordance with the new notation, without any further explanation, unless it seems to be necessary for a better understanding.

For every $\xi\in D$ we set $K_\xi:=K(\cdot,\Pi(\xi)^{-*})\xi\colon Z\to D$. The functions K_ξ, $(\xi\in D)$, are smooth sections of the bundle Π. For $\xi\in D_s,\eta\in D_t$ with $s,t\in Z$, the prescriptions

$$(K_\xi\mid K_\eta)_{\mathcal{H}^K}:=(K(\Pi(\eta)^{-*},\Pi(\xi)^{-*})\xi\mid\eta)_{t^{-*},t},$$

when Π is sesquilinear Hermitian-like, and

$$(K_\xi\mid K_\eta)_{\mathcal{H}^K}:=\overline{(K(\Pi(\eta)^{-*},\Pi(\xi)^{-*})\xi\mid\eta)_{t^{-*},t}},$$

when Π is bilinear Hermitian-like, define an inner product $(\cdot\mid\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^K}$ on $\operatorname{span}\{K_\xi:\xi\in D\}$ whose completion gives rise to a Hilbert space denoted by $\mathcal{H}^K$.

One basic example of Hermitian-like vector bundle is the universal bundle of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ provided with an involutive isometry on $\mathcal{H}$:

Example 4.3. Let $C\colon \mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}$ be an involutive isometric, linear or antilinear, operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Then the mapping

$$\mathcal{S}\mapsto\mathcal{S}^{-*}:=C(\mathcal{S}),\quad \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})\to\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$$

is a smooth involution in the Grassmannian manifold $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$. It is clear that the universal bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ is Hermitian-like if we endow it with the Hermitian-like pairings

$$(x\mid y)_{S,S^{-*}}:=(x\mid Cy)_{\mathcal{H}}\qquad (S\in\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}),x\in S,y\in C(S)).$$

(Notice that these pairings are bilinear if C is conjugate-linear). Further, this structure admits a reproducing kernel $Q_{\mathcal{H},C}$ defined by

$$Q_{\mathcal{H},C}(S_1,S_2):=p_{S_1}\circ C\mid_{C(\mathcal{S}_2)}\colon S_2^{-*}\to S_1\quad \text{for } S_1,S_2\in\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}).$$

We will use the notation $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},C}$ to refer to this Hermitian-like universal bundle in the sequel.

Fix an element $\mathcal{S}_0$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $C(\mathcal{S}_0)=\mathcal{S}_0$. Then the orbit $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ is invariant under the involution $\mathcal{S}\mapsto C(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$, and therefore by restriction we get the Hermitian-like vector $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0,C}\colon\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})\to\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ as a subbundle of $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},C}$. Let denote by $Q_{\mathcal{S}_0,C}$ the restriction of the kernel $Q_{\mathcal{H},C}$ to the bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0,C}$. For every $\mathcal{S}\in\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists $u\in\mathcal{U}:=\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $u\mathcal{S}_0=\mathcal{S}$ and $u\mathcal{S}_0^{\perp}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$. Then $up_{\mathcal{S}_0}=p_{\mathcal{S}}u$, that is, $p_{\mathcal{S}}=up_{\mathcal{S}_0}u^{-1}$. Thus for all $u_1,u_2\in\mathcal{U}$ and $x_1,x_2\in\mathcal{S}_0$ we have

$$Q_{\mathcal{S}_0,C}(u_1\mathcal{S}_0,u_2\mathcal{S}_0)(Cu_2x_2)=p_{u_1\mathcal{S}_0}(Cu_2x_2)=u_1p_{\mathcal{S}_0}(u_1^{-1}u_2x_2).$$

For the above assertions, see [BG11, Def. 4.2 and Rem. 4.3].

Any of the bundles $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},C}$ or $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0,C}$ will be called a *universal vector C-bundle.*

Let $\Pi\colon D\to Z$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}\colon\widetilde{D}\to\widetilde{Z}$ be Hermitian-like vector bundles, and assume that each of the manifolds Z and $\widetilde{Z}$ is endowed with an involutive diffeomorphism denoted by $z\mapsto z^{-*}$ for both manifolds. A *morphism* (respectively, an *antimorphism*) of Π into $\widetilde{\Pi}$ is a pair $\Theta=(\delta,\zeta)$ such that $\delta\colon D\to\widetilde{D}$ and $\zeta\colon Z\to\widetilde{Z}$ are smooth mappings such that:

(i) $\Pi\circ\zeta=\delta\circ\widetilde{\Pi}$;

(ii) $\forall z\in Z$, the mapping $\delta_z:=\delta|_{D_z}\colon D_z\to\widetilde{D}_{\zeta(z)}$ is a bounded linear operator (respectively, a bounded antilinear operator);

(iii) $\forall z\in Z$, we have $\zeta(z^{-*})=\zeta(z)^{-*}$.

From Definition 4.1 it follows that, for every $z\in Z$, there exists a (unique) bounded operator $(\delta_z)^{-*}\colon\widetilde{D}_{\zeta(z)^{-*}}\to D_{z^{-*}}$ such that

$$(\forall\xi\in D_z,\eta\in\widetilde{D}_{\zeta(z)^{-*}})\quad(\delta_z\xi\mid\eta)_{\zeta(z),\zeta(z)^{-*}}=(\xi\mid(\delta_z)^{-*}\eta)_{z,z^{-*}}\tag{4.1}$$

or

$$(\forall\xi\in D_z,\eta\in\widetilde{D}_{\zeta(z)^{-*}})\quad(\delta_z\xi\mid\eta)_{\zeta(z),\zeta(z)^{-*}}=\overline{(\xi\mid(\delta_z)^{-*}\eta)}_{z,z^{-*}}\,,\tag{4.2}$$

depending on the sesquilinear or bilinear character, respectively, of the Hermitian-like structures in Π and $\widetilde{\Pi}$. Also, the linearity or antilinearity of the mapping $(\delta_z)^{-*}$ also depends on these structures and the given linearity or conjugate-linearity of δ_z.

Definition 4.4. An *involutive morphism* or *involutive antimorphism* on a Hermitian-like bundle $\Pi\colon D\to Z$ is any morphism or antimorphism, respectively, of the form $\Theta=(\tau,-*)$, where $-*$ is the involutive diffeomorphism in Z and $\tau\colon D\to D$ is a smooth map such that $\tau^2=\mathrm{id}_D$, and for all $s\in Z$ we have $\tau(D_s)\subseteq D_{s^{-*}}$, $\tau|_{D_s}\colon D_s\to D_{s^{-*}}$ is linear or antilinear, respectively, and for all $s\in Z$, $\xi\in D_s$, $\eta\in D_{s^{-*}}$ we have

(i) $(\tau(\eta) \mid \tau(\xi))_{s^{-*},s} = (\eta \mid \xi)_{s^{-*},s}$, if τ is linear ;
(ii) $(\tau(\xi) \mid \tau(\eta))_{s^{-*},s} = (\eta \mid \xi)_{s^{-*},s}$, if τ is antilinear and Π is sesquilinear Hermitian-like, or $(\tau(\xi) \mid \tau(\eta))_{s^{-*},s} = \overline{(\eta \mid \xi)}_{s^{-*},s}$, if τ is antilinear and Π is bilinear Hermitian-like.

Example 4.5. An important example of Hermitian-like bundle with involutive morphism or antimorphism is that one of the universal vector bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},C}$ endowed with the structure induced on $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}$ by any involutive isometry $C\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a given Hilbert space. In this case we obtain the asked-for involutive morphism or antimorphism just defining $\tau^C\colon (\mathcal{S}, x) \mapsto (C(\mathcal{S}), C(x))$, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$.

In analogy with the notion of pullback of kernels introduced in [BG08], we give next the following definition. We also include in the present paper a particular case for involutions.

Definition 4.6. Let $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}\colon \widetilde{D} \to \widetilde{Z}$ be two smooth Hermitian-like vector bundles such that there is a morphism or antimorphism $\Theta = (\delta, \zeta)$ from Π to $\widetilde{\Pi}$. Assume that K is a reproducing $(-*)$-kernel on $\widetilde{\Pi}$. The *pullback of the reproducing* $(-*)$*-kernel* K through Θ is the reproducing $(-*)$-kernel $\Theta^* K$ on Π defined by

$$(\forall s, t \in Z) \quad \Theta^* K(s,t) = (\delta_{s^{-*}})^{-*} \circ K(\zeta(s), \zeta(t)) \circ \delta_{t^{-*}}; \tag{4.3}$$

that is, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{D}_{\zeta(t^{-*})} \arrow[r, "K(\zeta(s){,}\zeta(t))"] & \widetilde{D}_{\zeta(s)} \arrow[d, "(\delta_{s^{-*}})^{-*}"] \\
\widetilde{D}_{t^{-*}} \arrow[u, "\delta_{t^{-*}}"] \arrow[r, "\Theta^* K(s{,}t)"] & D_s
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for all $s, t \in Z$.

Given an involutive morphism or antimorphism $\Theta_\tau = (\tau, -*)$ of the Hermitian-like bundle Π, we say that a reproducing $(-*)$-kernel K on Π is *self-involutive* if $K = \Theta_\tau^* K$, that is, if

$$(\forall s, t \in Z) \quad K(s,t) = \tau^{-1} \circ K(s^{-*}, t^{-*}) \circ \tau|_{D_{t^{-*}}}, \tag{4.4}$$

We provided in [BG11, Th. 6.2] a result of universality for reproducing kernels by showing that any such a kernel K on a Hermitian vector bundle Π, generating a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^K$, can be recovered by $K = \Delta_K^* Q_{\mathcal{H},\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}}$, as the pullback kernel of the universal one $Q_{\mathcal{H},\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}}$, through a suitable vector bundle morphism Δ_K called the classifying morphism. This theorem has a version for kernels on like-Hermitian bundles ([BG11, Th. 5.1]), but in this case one must consider, as an *additional assumption* for the theorem to hold, that the classifying morphism commutes with involutions in the base spaces of the bundles under consideration. We now prove that such an extra assumption can be removed if we are dealing with self-involutive kernels.

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ *be a sesquilinear Hermitian-like vector bundle having a self-involutive reproducing kernel* K *with respect to an involutive morphism or antimorphism* $(\tau, -*)$*. Then there exist an involutive, isometric, linear or antilinear, respectively, operator* $C := C(\tau)\colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{H}^K$*, and a vector bundle morphism or antimorphism, respectively,* $\Delta_K^C = (\delta_K^C, \zeta_K^C)$ *from* Π *into the universal bundle* $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}^K;C}$ *such that*

$$K = (\Delta_K^C)^* Q_{\mathcal{H}^K,C};$$

that is, for all $s, t \in Z$*,*

$$K(s,t) = ((\delta_K^C)_{s^{-*}})^{-*} \circ Q_{\mathcal{H}^K,C}(\zeta_K^C(s), \zeta_K^C(t)) \circ (\delta_K^C)_{t^{-*}}\,.$$

Proof. We prove the assertion when $(\tau, -*)$ is an antimorphism. The case when $(\tau, -*)$ is a morphism is similar and even simpler.

Let $\mathcal{H}^K$ be the Hilbert space generated by the kernel K, which is to say, by the basic sections $K_\xi = K(\cdot, \Pi(\xi)^{-*})\xi$, $\xi \in D$. Since K is self-involutive we can apply [BG11, Cor. 3.7] to get an involutive antilinear isometry $\overline{\tau}\colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{H}^K$ such that $\overline{\tau}(K_\xi) = K_{\tau(\xi)}$, $(\xi \in D)$, and

$$(\overline{\tau}F)(t) = \tau(F(t^{-*})), \quad \forall t \in Z.$$

By following [BG11, Th. 5.1], put similarly $\widehat{K}(\xi) := K_\xi$, for $\xi \in D$, and then define

$$\zeta_K\colon s \mapsto \overline{\widehat{K}(D_s)},\ Z \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K)$$

(where the bar over $\widehat{K}(D_s)$ means topological closure). Take $C = \overline{\tau}$. Since $\zeta_K(s)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}^K$, it is readily seen that $C(\zeta_K(s)) = \zeta_K(s^{-*})$ for each $s \in Z$.

Let $\Delta_K = (\delta_K, \zeta_K)$ be the morphism from Π into the universal C-bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}^K,C}$ where $\delta_K := (\zeta_K \circ \Pi, \widehat{K})$. Define $\delta_K^C := C \circ \delta_K$ and $\zeta_K^C := C \circ \zeta_K$. Then $\Delta_K^C := (\delta_K^C, \widehat{K}^C)$ is a vector bundle antimorphism from $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ into $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}^K;C}\colon \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}^K) \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K)$ which commutes with the involutions in the respective bases: For $s \in Z$,

$$\zeta_K^C(s^{-*}) = (C \circ \zeta_K)(s^{-*}) = C\left[\zeta_K(s^{-*})\right] = C\left[C(\zeta_K(s)\right] = C\left[\zeta_K^C(s)\right].$$

Finally, let us check that K can be recovered from $Q_{\mathcal{H}^K,C}$ by the pullback operation with Δ_K^C. Put $\delta_z^C := (\delta_K^C)_z$, for $z \in Z$, for short. Take $s, t \in Z$. For all $\eta \in D_{t^{-*}}$ and $\xi \in D_{s^{-*}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\Delta_K^C)^* Q_{\mathcal{H}^K,C}(\zeta_K^C(s), \zeta_K^C(t))(\eta) \mid \xi)_{s,s^{-*}} \\
&\qquad = \left((\delta_{s^{-*}}^C)^{-*} \circ Q_{\mathcal{H}^K,C}(\zeta_K^C(s), \zeta_K^C(t))(\delta_{t^{-*}}^C)^{-*}(\eta) \mid \xi\right)_{s,s^{-*}} \\
&\qquad = \left(Q_{\mathcal{H}^K,C}(\zeta_K^C(s), \zeta_K^C(t))C(K_\eta) \mid C(K_\xi)\right)_{s,s^{-*}} \\
&\qquad = \left((p_{\zeta_K^C(s)} \circ C))CK_\eta \mid CK_\xi\right)_{s,s^{-*}} \\
&\qquad = \left(p_{\zeta_K^C(s)}(K_\eta) \mid K_\xi\right)_{\mathcal{H}^K} \\
&\qquad = (K_\eta \mid K_\xi)_{\mathcal{H}^K} \\
&\qquad = (K(s,t)\eta \mid \xi)_{s,s^{-*}}
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. (Looking through the consistency of the preceding chain of equalities, we observe that the mapping $\delta_{s^{-*}}^C = C \circ \delta_{s^{-*}}$ is conjugate-linear and the mapping $(\delta_{s^{-*}}^C)^{-*}$ is linear.) □

Remark 4.8. (i) Theorem 4.7 was given only for sesquilinear Hermitian-like vector bundles but an entirely analog version for bilinear Hermitian-like vector bundles may equally be obtained. We call the morphism or antimorphism Δ_K^C constructed in Theorem 4.7 *the classifying morphism* associated with the kernel K.

(ii) Note that the Hermitian case in Theorem 4.7 corresponds to the choice of τ and C as the respective identity maps. Thus Theorem 4.7 extends the Hermitian result contained in [BG11, Th. 5.1].

(iii) It is also possible to construct classifying morphisms even when the reproducing kernel is not assumed to be self-involutive. For instance, this is the case if the vector bundle $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ is acted on by a Banach-Lie group and the associated kernel K is compatible with the corresponding action. The resulting morphism then takes values in a complexification of the tautological bundle, see [BG11, Th. 5.14].

In order to define the notion of linear connection induced by a reproducing kernel, we need to elucidate when the first component of a classifying morphism is a fiberwise isomorphism. This is done in the next subsection.

4.2. **Quantization maps and kernels.** Motivated by the significant physical interpretation given in [Od88] and [Od92] (see also [MP97]) to maps from manifolds into the projective space of a complex Hilbert space, we introduce now the following notion.

Definition 4.9. Let Z and $\mathcal{H}$ be a Banach manifold and a complex Hilbert space, respectively. Any smooth mapping $\zeta\colon Z \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ will be termed a *quantization map* from Z to $\mathcal{H}$.

Set

$$\mathcal{D}_\zeta = \{(s,x) \in Z \times \mathcal{H} : s \in Z, x \in \zeta(s)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_\zeta$ is a Banach manifold of the same class as Z, and the projection

$$\Pi^\zeta\colon (s,x) \mapsto s, \quad \mathcal{D}_\zeta \to Z$$

defines a vector bundle, with local trivializations

$$(\Pi^\zeta)^{-1}(\Omega_s) \simeq \Omega_s \times \zeta(s) \ \text{ for } \Omega_s := \zeta^{-1}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\zeta(s)}(\mathcal{H})) \qquad (s \in Z),$$

where the fiber at $s \in Z$ is identified to $\zeta(s)$. Assume in addition that there exist an involutive diffeomorphism $s \mapsto s^{-*}$, $Z \to Z$ and a linear or antilinear isometry $C\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $\zeta(s^{-*}) = C(\zeta(s))$ for all $s \in Z$. Then we provide the bundle $\mathcal{D}_\zeta \to Z$ with the Hermitian-like structure given by $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{s,s^{-*}} := (\,\cdot \mid C(\cdot)\,)_{\mathcal{H}}$. Such a bundle admits the reproducing kernel given by

$$K_{\zeta,C}(s,t) := p_{\zeta(s)} \circ C|_{\zeta(t^{-*})}\colon \zeta(t^{-*}) \to \zeta(s) \quad (s,t \in Z).$$

A very natural and clear example of the above occurs when $-* = \mathrm{id}_Z$ and $C = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Notice that $K_{\zeta,C}(s,s^{-*}) = \mathrm{id}_{\zeta(s)}$. Thus we have a correspondence $\zeta \mapsto K_{\zeta,C}$ from quantization maps into Hermitian-like reproducing kernels such that $K_{\zeta,C}(s,s^{-*})$ is invertible for all $s \in Z$. Next, we are going to establish the inverse correspondence.

Let $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ be a Hermitian-like vector bundle with a reproducing $(-*)$-kernel K. For $\xi \in D$ let K_ξ be the smooth section of Π given by $K_\xi = K(\cdot,\Pi(\xi)^{-*})\xi$, and let $\mathcal{H}^K$ denote the Hilbert space generated by the functions K_ξ as indicated formerly, after Definition 4.2. Put $\hat{K}(\xi) = K_\xi$ for $\xi \in D$, and define

$$\zeta_K\colon s \mapsto \overline{\widehat{K}(D_s)},\ Z \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K);$$

see [BG08], [BR07], [BG11]. Assume in addition that the kernel K is self-involutive, and let $C\colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{H}^K$ be the involutive isometry whose existence is ensured by Theorem 4.7. In the following result the bundle $\Pi_{\zeta_K} : \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K} \to Z$ is endowed with the C-Hermitian-like structure and kernel $K_{\zeta_K,C}$ introduced above.

Theorem 4.10. *In the above setting, suppose that for every $s \in Z$ the mapping*

$$\widehat{K}|_{D_s} : \xi \mapsto \widehat{K}(\xi),\ D_s \to \mathcal{H}^K$$

is injective and has closed range. Then:

(i) *The mapping $\check{K} := (\Pi, \widehat{K})$ is a bijection from D onto $\mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K}$ and therefore $\Delta_{\zeta_K} := (\check{K}, \mathrm{id}_Z)$ is an algebraic isomorphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r, "\check{K}"] \arrow[d, "\Pi"'] & \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K} \arrow[d, "\Pi^{\zeta_K}"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_Z"] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

of vector bundles. In addition, if $\widehat{K}$ is smooth then the isomorphism Δ_{ζ_K} is smooth.

(ii) *We have*

$$K = \Theta_{\zeta_K}^* K_{\zeta_K,C}\,,$$

which is to say, the reproducing kernel K is the pull-back kernel of $K_{\zeta_K,C}$ by the vector bundle morphism $\Theta_{\zeta_K} := (C \circ \check{K}, -*)$ given by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r, "\check{K}"] \arrow[d, "\Pi"'] & \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K} \arrow[r, "C"] \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\zeta_K}"] & \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K} \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\zeta_K}"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_Z"] & Z \arrow[r, "-*"] & Z.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(iii) *For every $s \in Z$ the operator $K(s, s^{-*})$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(D_s)$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\xi, \eta \in D$ are such that $\check{K}(\xi) = \check{K}(\eta)$. This means that $\Pi(\xi) = s = \Pi(\eta)$ with $s \in Z$, and therefore $\xi, \eta \in D_s$. Since $\widehat{K}$ is injective on D_s we deduce that $\xi = \eta$. Now, take an element (s, x) with $s \in Z$ and $x \in \zeta_K(s) = \widehat{K}(D_s)$, where the equality of these two subsets holds because $\widehat{K}(D_s)$ is closed by hypothesis. Thus there exists $\xi \in D_s$ such that $x = \widehat{K}(\xi)$ and therefore $(s, x) = (\Pi(\xi), \widehat{K}(\xi))$. We have proved that $\check{K}$ is both injective and surjective. In conclusion, $\check{K}$ is a bijection between D and $\mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K}$, and then the fact that Δ_{ζ_K} is a vector bundle isomorphism follows readily.

(ii) This is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.7. For every $s, t \in Z$, $\xi \in D_{s^{-*}}$ and $\eta \in D_{t^{-*}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((C \circ \check{K})_{s^{-*}}^{-*} \circ K_{\zeta_K,C}(s^{-*}, t^{-*}) \circ (C \circ \check{K})_{t^{-*}}(\eta) \mid \xi)_{s,s^{-*}} \\
&= \big((C \circ \check{K})_{s^{-*}}^{-*}(p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})} K_\eta) \mid \xi\big)_{s,s^{-*}} \\
&= \big(p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(K_\eta) \mid C K_\xi\big)_{s^{-*},s} \\
&= \big(p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(K_\eta) \mid K_\xi\big)_{\mathcal{H}^K} \\
&= (K_\eta \mid K_\xi)_{\mathcal{H}^K} \\
&= (K(s,t)\eta \mid \xi)_{s,s^{-*}}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

and then it follows that $K = \Theta_{\zeta_K}^* K_{\zeta_K,C}$ as we claimed.

(iii) The invertibility of $K(s, s^{-*})$ for all $s \in Z$ is an automatic consequence of part (ii) above since in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K})_s \equiv \zeta_K(s) \arrow[r, "K_{\zeta_K,C}(s,s^{-*})"] & \zeta_K(s) \arrow[d, "(C\circ\check{K})^*"] \\
D_s \arrow[u, "C\circ\check{K}\equiv C\circ\widehat{K}"] \arrow[r, "K(s,s^{-*})"] & D_s
\end{tikzcd}$$

the left vertical arrow and the upper horizontal arrow are antilinear isomorphisms, and the right vertical arrow is a linear isomorphism. □

In [BG11, Th. 5.8], it was proven, for the notion of reproducing kernel considered there, that a sufficient condition for $\widehat{K}|_{D_s}$ to be injective and have closed range is that the values of K on the diagonal of $D \times D$ should be invertible bounded linear operators. The argument employed in [BG11, Th. 5.8] works exactly the same for reproducing kernels like the ones we are studying in the present paper. Thus, in view of (iii) in the above statement we get the following characterization.

Corollary 4.11. *Let* $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ *be a Hermitian-like vector bundle with a self-involutive reproducing* $(-*)$*-kernel* K*. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) $\widehat{K}|_{D_s}$ *is injective and has closed range* $\forall s \in Z$.
(ii) $K(s, s^{-*})$ *is invertible in* $\mathcal{B}(D_s)$, $\forall s \in Z$.

By reformulating the above results we get a characterization relating the existence of reproducing kernels and the existence of quantization maps.

Theorem 4.12. *For a given Banach manifold* Z *provided with an involutive diffeomorphism* $-*$ *the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists a Hermitian-like vector bundle* $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ *with a self-involutive reproducing* $(-*)$*-kernel* K *such that* $\widehat{K}|_{D_s}$ *is injective and has closed range for all* $s \in Z$.
(ii) *There exists a Hermitian-like vector bundle* $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ *with a self-involutive reproducing* $(-*)$*-kernel* K *such that* $K(s, s^{-*})$ *is invertible in* $\mathcal{B}(D_s)$ *for all* $s \in Z$.
(iii) *There exists a Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$*, a smooth quantization map* $\zeta\colon Z \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$*, and an isometry* $C\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ *such that* $\zeta(s^{-*}) = C(\zeta(s))$ *for every* $s \in Z$.

In this case, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^K$, $\zeta = \zeta_K$*, and* $D \simeq \mathcal{D}_\zeta$.

Remark 4.13. For a quantization map $Z \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ as above put

$$\psi_\zeta(s, x) := (\zeta(s), x), \quad s \in Z, x \in \zeta(s) \subseteq \mathcal{H}.$$

Then (ψ_ζ, ζ) is a vector bundle morphism from $\Pi_\zeta\colon \mathcal{D}_\zeta \to Z$ to the universal bundle $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$. In fact, by identifying $\mathcal{D}_\zeta$ with $\{(s, (\zeta(s), x)) \mid s \in Z, x \in \zeta(s)\}$ one has that $\Pi_\zeta\colon \mathcal{D}_\zeta \to Z$ is diffeomorphic to the pull-back bundle of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by the mapping ζ.

Let $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ be a Hermitian-like vector bundle with self-involutive reproducing kernel K, and write $\psi_K := \psi_{\zeta_K}$, $\delta_K := \psi_K \circ \check{K}$. Then we get that the pair $\Delta_K = (\delta_K, \zeta_K)$ occurring in the proof of Theorem 4.7 is factorized according to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\delta_K\colon D \arrow[r, "\check{K}"] \arrow[d, "\Pi"'] & \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_K} \arrow[r, "\psi_K"] \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\zeta_K}"] & \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}^K) \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\mathcal{H}^K}"] \\
\zeta_K\colon Z \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_Z"] & Z \arrow[r, "\zeta_K"] & \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K),
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we obtain that *the classifying morphism* $\Delta_K = (\delta_K, \zeta_K)$ *is a pull-back diffeomorphism if and only if* $K(s, s^{-*})$ *is invertible in* $\mathcal{B}(D_s)$ *for all* $\in Z$.

5. Connections associated with reproducing kernels

5.1. **Linear connections induced by reproducing kernels.** Let $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ be a Hermitian-like vector bundle endowed with a self-involutive reproducing $(-*)$-kernel K such that $K(s, s^{-*})$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(D_s)$ for all $s \in Z$. Let $\Delta_K = (\delta_K, \zeta_K)$ and C be the classifying morphism for K and isometry of $\mathcal{H}^K$, respectively, constructed in Theorem 4.7. Assume for simplicity that $\mathcal{S}_0$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K)$ is such that $C(\mathcal{S}_0) = \mathcal{S}_0$ and $\zeta_K(Z) \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K)$, and therefore $\delta_K(D) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K)$, so we have that Δ_K^C is a morphism from Π to the universal bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0, C}$ at $\mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{H}^K$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r, "\delta_K"] \arrow[d, "\Pi"'] & \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K) \arrow[r, "C"] \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0, C}"] & \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K) \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\mathcal{S}_0, C}"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "\zeta_K"] & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K) \arrow[r, "C"] & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let E_p be the conditional expectation naturally associated to the orthogonal projection $p := p_{\mathcal{S}_0} \colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{S}_0$. Then let $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}_0}$ denote the connection induced by E_p on the bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}^K,\mathcal{S}_0}$ as in Definition 3.11, i.e.,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}_0} \colon [((u,X),(x,y))] \mapsto [((u,0),(x,E_p(X)x+y))].$$

Since we are assuming that $K(s,s^{-*})$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(D_s)$ for all $s \in Z$ we have that the map δ_K is a fiberwise linear or antilinear isomorphism from D_s onto $\widehat{K}(D_s)$, and so the following definition is consistent, according to Proposition 2.6.

Definition 5.1. Under the above conditions, we call *connection* on Π *induced by* K the pull-back connection Φ_K given by

$$\Phi_K := (\Delta_K^C)^*(\Phi_{\mathcal{S}_0}).$$

Remark 5.2. Let us remark once again in the setting of Definition 5.1 that taking the involution in Z as the identity map and C as the identity isometry on $\mathcal{H}^K$, a Hermitian vector bundle $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ with reproducing kernel K satisfies all the results affecting Hermitian-like vector bundles and associated self-involutive reproducing $(-*)$-kernels. This is the case of the aforementioned definition.

Before going any further, we give a method for computing the covariant derivative for the connection induced by a reproducing kernel in the framework of Definition 5.1.

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $\Pi\colon D \to Z$ *be a Hermitian-like vector bundle with a smooth self-involutive reproducing* $(-*)$*-kernel* K *such that* $K(s,s^{-*}) \in \mathcal{B}(D_s)$ *is invertible for all* $s \in Z$*. Let* $\Delta_K = (\delta_K, \zeta_K)$ *and* C *be the classifying morphism for* K *and isometry of* $\mathcal{H}^K$*, respectively, as in Theorem 4.7. Then assume that* $\mathcal{S}_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K)$ *satisfies* $C(\mathcal{S}_0) = \mathcal{S}_0$ *and* $\zeta_K(Z) \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K)$*. Let* $\nabla\colon \Omega^0(Z,D) \to \Omega^1(Z,D)$ *be the covariant derivative for the connection induced by* K*.*

If $\sigma \in \Omega^0(Z,D)$ *has the property that there exists* $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \Omega^0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K))$ *such that* $\delta_K^C \circ \sigma = \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \zeta_K^C$*, then for* $s \in Z$ *and* $X \in T_sZ$ *we have*

$$(\nabla\sigma)(X) = K(s,s^{-*})^{-1}\Big(\underbrace{\big((p_{\zeta_K(s)}(\mathrm{d}(\widehat{K}\circ\sigma)(X)))\big)}_{\in\mathcal{H}^K\subseteq\Omega^0(Z,D)}(s)\Big).$$

An equivalent way of expressing the conclusion of Theorem 5.3 is that for $s \in Z$, $t_0 > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((-t_0,t_0),Z)$ with $\gamma(0) = s$ and $\sigma \in \Omega^0(Z,D)$ we have the formula

$$(\nabla\sigma)(\dot\gamma(0)) = K(s,s^{-*})^{-1}\Big(p_{\zeta_K(s)}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}\widehat{K}(\sigma(\gamma(t)))\Big)(s)\Big)$$

which only requires the derivative at $t = 0$ of the function $\widehat{K}\circ\sigma\circ\gamma\colon (-t_0,t_0) \to \mathcal{H}^K$ and then to take the orthogonal projection of the derivative on the subspace $\zeta_K(s)$ of $\mathcal{H}^K$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Recall that for every $\xi \in D$ we have $\widehat{K}(\xi) = K_\xi = K(\cdot,\Pi(\xi)^{-*})\xi$, $\delta_K(\xi) = (\zeta_K(\Pi(\xi)), \widehat{K}(\xi))$, while $\delta_K^C = C \circ \delta_K$ and $\zeta_K^C = C \circ \zeta_K$, hence

$$\delta_K^C(\xi) = (C(\zeta_K(\Pi(\xi))), C(\widehat{K}(\xi))) = (\zeta_K(\Pi((\tau(\xi))), \widehat{K}(\tau(\xi))) = \delta_K(\tau(\xi))$$

and also $\zeta_K^C(\Pi(\xi)) = \zeta_K(\Pi(\tau(\xi)))$. Now let $s \in Z$ and $X \in T_sZ$ arbitrary. Since $\delta_K^C \circ \sigma = \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \zeta_K^C$, it follows by Proposition 2.4 that $\delta_K^C \circ \nabla\sigma = \widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\sigma} \circ T(\zeta_K^C)$, where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denotes the covariant derivative for the universal connection on the tautological vector bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}\colon \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K)$. In particular we get

$$(\zeta_K^C(s^{-*}), \widehat{K}(\tau((\nabla\sigma)(X)))) = \delta_K^C((\nabla\sigma)(X)) = \widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\sigma}(T(\zeta_K^C)X). \tag{5.1}$$

On the other hand, since $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \Omega^0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K), \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K))$, there exists a uniquely determined function $f_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}^K), \mathcal{H}^K)$ with $\widetilde{\sigma}(\cdot) = (\cdot, f_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(\cdot))$. Then by Proposition 3.12 we get

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\sigma}(T(\zeta_K^C)X) = (\zeta_K(s^{-*}), p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(\mathrm{d}f_{\widetilde{\sigma}}(T(\zeta_K^C)X))). \tag{5.2}$$

By using the equality $\delta_K^C \circ \sigma = \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \zeta_K^C$ again, we get $f_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \circ \zeta_K^C = C \circ \widehat{K} \circ \sigma = \widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma \colon Z \to \mathcal{H}^K$, hence by differentiation we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}f_{\widetilde{\sigma}} \circ T(\zeta_K^C) = \mathrm{d}(\widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma). \tag{5.3}$$

It now follows by (5.1)–(5.3) that

$$\widehat{K}(\tau((\nabla\sigma)(X))) = p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(\mathrm{d}(\widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma)(X)) \in \mathcal{H}^K.$$

Both sides of the above equality are sections in the bundle $\Pi \colon D \to Z$, and moreover $\tau((\nabla\sigma)(X)) \in D_{s^{-*}}$. By evaluating the left-hand side at the point $s^{-*} \in Z$ we get the value $K(s^{-*}, s)\big(\tau((\nabla\sigma)(X))\big) \in D_{s^{-*}}$. Hence by evaluating both sides of the above equality at s^{-*} and then successively applying the operator $K(s^{-*}, s)^{-1}$ and then the involutive diffeomorphism τ to both sides of the equality obtained after the evaluation we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla\sigma)(X) &= \tau(K(s^{-*}, s)^{-1}\big((p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(\mathrm{d}(\widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma)(X)))(s^{-*})\big)) \\
&= K(s, s^{-*})^{-1}\tau\big((p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(\mathrm{d}(\widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma)(X)))(s^{-*})\big) \\
&= K(s, s^{-*})^{-1}\big(C\big((p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}(\mathrm{d}(\widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma)(X)))\big)(s)\big) \\
&= K(s, s^{-*})^{-1}\big(\big((p_{\zeta_K(s)}C(\mathrm{d}(\widehat{K} \circ \tau \circ \sigma)(X)))\big)(s)\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows since the kernel K is self-involutive, while the last equality relies on the equality $Cp_{\zeta_K(s)}C = p_{\zeta_K(s^{-*})}$ (irrespective of whether it is linear or antilinear); see Lemma 6.4 below. Now the assertion follows from the above equalities since $C \colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{H}^K$ is **R**-linear and $C \circ \widehat{K} = \widehat{K} \circ \tau$. $\square$

5.2. **Categorial aspects.** We will now discuss the functorial features of the above correspondence between reproducing kernels and linear connections, and it will follow that this correspondence is unique in a quite natural way. For the sake of simplicity we will restrict ourselves to Hermitian bundles. The precise statement actually establishes the relationship between various categories that we now introduce:

- **Hilb** is the category whose objects are the complex Hilbert spaces and the morphisms are the linear isometries.
- **Herm** is the category whose objects are the Hermitian vector bundles and the morphisms are the bundle morphisms which are fiberwise unitary operators;
- **Kernh** is the category whose objects are the admissible reproducing kernels on Hermitian vector bundles, where *admissibility* means that the kernels satisfy the equivalent conditions of Corollary 4.11. The morphisms of this category are defined by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Kernh}}(K_1, K_2) = \{\Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Herm}}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \mid \Theta^*(K_2) = K_1\}$, whenever K_j is an admissible reproducing kernel on the Hermitian vector bundle Π_j for $j = 1, 2$.
- **LinConnect** is the category whose objects are the linear connections on Hermitian vector bundles and the morphisms are defined by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{LinConnect}}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \{\Theta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Herm}}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \mid \Theta^*(\Phi_2) = \Phi_1\}$ whenever Φ_j is a linear connection on the Hermitian vector bundle Π_j for $j = 1, 2$.
- $\mathcal{Q}$: **Hilb** $\to$ **Kernh** is the functor that constructs the universal reproducing kernel on the tautological bundle for a given Hilbert space.

- $\Phi\colon$ **Hilb** $\to$ **LinConnect** is the functor that constructs the universal connection on the tautological bundle for a given Hilbert space.
- $\mathbb{F}$ are the forgetful functors that associate to every kernel or connection the bundle where these objects are acting.
- And finally, $\mathbb{A}\colon$ **Kernh** $\to$ **LinConnect** is the functor defined by means of Definition 5.1 on the level of objects of these categories and which acts identically on the level of morphisms.

Here is the categorial characterization of the functor $\mathbb{A}$ from the category of the reproducing kernels to the one of linear connections on Hermitian vector bundles.

Theorem 5.4. *There exists a unique functor from **Kernh** into **LinConnect** such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \textbf{LinConnect} \arrow[dr, "\mathbb{F}"] & \\
\textbf{Hilb} \arrow[ur, "\Phi"] \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{Q}"'] & & \textbf{Herm} \\
 & \textbf{Kernh} \arrow[uu, dashed] \arrow[ur, "\mathbb{F}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

*is commutative, and that functor is $\mathbb{A}\colon$ **Kernh** $\to$ **LinConnect**.*

Proof. We first check that the diagram in the statement is commutative if the role of the dotted arrow is played by the functor $\mathbb{A}$. In fact, we have $\mathbb{F}\circ\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{F}$ since the functor $\mathbb{A}$ takes an admissible reproducing kernel on some Hermitian vector bundle to a linear connection on the same Hermitian vector bundle. Moreover, it follows directly by Definition 5.1 along with [BG09, Prop. 4.5 and Ex.] that $\mathbb{A}\circ\mathcal{Q}=\Phi$.

To prove the uniqueness assertion, let us assume that $\mathbb{B}\colon$ **Kernh** $\to$ **LinConnect** is a functor such that $\mathbb{F}\circ\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{B}\circ\mathcal{Q}=\Phi$. The latter equality shows that for every Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ we have $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}})=\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}$, hence $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}})=\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}})$. Thus the functors $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ agree on the universal reproducing kernels. Now let K be an arbitrary admissible reproducing kernel on a Hermitian vector bundle Π. The classifying morphism $\Delta_K\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Herm}}(\Pi,\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}^K))$ has the property $\Delta_K^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}^K})=K$, hence we have $\Delta_K\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Kernh}}(K,\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}^K})$. By using the functor $\mathbb{B}\colon$ **Kernh** $\to$ **LinConnect**, it then follows that $\mathbb{B}(\Delta_K)\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{LinConnect}}(\mathbb{B}(K),\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}^K}))$. On the other hand, by using the equality $\mathbb{F}\circ\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{F}$ on morphisms in the category **Kernh**, we get $\mathbb{B}(\Delta_K)=\Delta_K$; moreover, we established above that $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}})=\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}$ for every Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, hence in particular $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{H}^K})=\Phi_{\mathcal{H}^K}$. We thus get $\Delta_K\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{LinConnect}}(\mathbb{B}(K),\Phi_{\mathcal{H}^K})$. By the definition of the morphisms in the category **LinConnect**, this means that $\mathbb{B}(K)=\Delta_K^*(\Phi_{\mathcal{H}^K})$, hence we have $\mathbb{B}(K)=\mathbb{A}(K)$. Thus the functors $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ agree on the level of objects in the category **Kernh**. Furthermore, it follows by the condition $\mathbb{F}\circ\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{F}$ that the functor $\mathbb{B}$ acts identically on the morphisms of the category **Kernh**, just as the functor $\mathbb{A}$ does. Thus eventually $\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{A}$. □

6. EXAMPLES

6.1. **Kernels on trivial bundles.** We illustrate here the theory established in the preceding sections by giving some results involving classical reproducing kernels on trivial vector bundles.

(a) *General case.*

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Assume that $\kappa\colon\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the reproducing kernel of a Hilbert space denoted by $\mathcal{H}^\kappa$. This means in particular that, for every $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$, $v_i \in \mathcal{H}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\kappa(x_i, x_j)v_j \mid v_i)_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0,$$

and that $\mathcal{H}^{\kappa}$ is the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions on $\mathcal{X}$ generated by the space $\operatorname{span}\{\kappa_x \otimes v : x \in \mathcal{X}, v \in \mathcal{H}\}$, where

$$\kappa_x \otimes v := \kappa(\cdot, x)v \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H},$$

with respect to the inner product given by

$$(\kappa_x \otimes v \mid \kappa_y \otimes w)_{\mathcal{H}^{\kappa}} := (\kappa(y, x)v \mid w)_{\mathcal{H}},$$

see [Ne00, Theorem I.1.4, (2) and (a)].

In the sequel we assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach manifold and that there exist a diffeomorphic involution $x \in \mathcal{X} \mapsto x^{-*} \in \mathcal{X}$ and a (anti-)linear isometry $C \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ related through the equation

$$C\kappa(x^{-*}, y^{-*})C = \kappa(x, y) \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Define a Hermitian-like structure on the trivial vector bundle $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{X}$ by

$$\big((x, u) \mid (x^{-*}, v)\big)_{x, x^{-*}} := (u \mid v)_{\mathcal{H}}\,, \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}; u, v \in \mathcal{H}),$$

and a kernel, accordingly, given by the family of operators $K(x, y)$ defined by

$$\{y^{-*}\} \times \mathcal{H} \ni (y^{-*}, v) \mapsto (x, \kappa(x, y)v) \in \{x\} \times \mathcal{H}.$$

whenever $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. It is readily seen that K is a reproducing kernel on the aforementioned trivial bundle. Moreover, for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$K_{(x,v)}(y) = K(y, x^{-*})(x, v) = (y, \kappa_{x^{-*}}(y)v),$$

and therefore there is the correspondence $K_{(x,v)} \longleftrightarrow \kappa_{x^{-*}} \otimes v$. Also, it is readily seen that if $(x, v), (y, w) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{H}$ then

$$\big(K_{(x,v)} \mid K_{(y,w)}\big)_{\mathcal{H}^K} = (\kappa_{x^{-*}} \otimes v \mid \kappa_{y^{-*}} \otimes w)_{\mathcal{H}^{\kappa}}.$$

Thus we get that $\mathcal{H}^K = \mathcal{H}^{\kappa}$.

Define the involution

$$\tau \colon \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{H} \ni (x, v) \mapsto (x^{-*}, Cv) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{H}.$$

For every $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tau \circ K(x^{-*}, y^{-*}) \circ \tau)(y^{-*}, v)) &= (\tau \circ K(x^{-*}, y^{-*}))(y, Cv) \\
&= \tau\left((x^{-*}, \kappa(x^{-*}, y^{-*})Cv)\right) = (x, C\kappa(x^{-*}, y^{-*})Cv) \\
&= (x, \kappa(x, y)v) = K(x, y)(y^{-*}, v),
\end{aligned}$$

so that K is self-involutive with respect to τ. Further, transferring $C_\tau := C(\tau)$ from $\mathcal{H}^K$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\kappa}$ gives us

$$C_\tau(\kappa_{x^{-*}} \otimes v) = \kappa_x \otimes Cv, \quad (x \in \mathcal{X}, v \in \mathcal{H}),$$

and then the classifying morphism for K is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(x, v) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{H} \arrow[r, "C\circ\delta_K"] \arrow[d, "p_X"'] & (\overline{\kappa_x \otimes \mathcal{H}}, \kappa_x \otimes Cv) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}^{\kappa}) \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\mathcal{H}^{\kappa}}"] \\
x \in \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "C\circ\zeta_K"] & \overline{\kappa_x \otimes \mathcal{H}} \in \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^{\kappa})\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the choosing $-* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $C = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ simultaneously corresponds to the usual Hermitian trivial bundle. In this special case we now compute the covariant derivative for the connection induced by the reproducing kernel K when moreover $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$, hence we may assume $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{C}$. Corresponding results for general involutions $-*$ and C are left to prospective readers.

In the following statement we use subscripts to denote the values of differential 1-forms on $\mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 6.1. *For every smooth section $\sigma(\cdot) = (\cdot, f_\sigma(\cdot))$, where $f_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C})$, of the trivial bundle $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ we have*

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X}) \quad (\nabla\sigma)_x = (x, (\mathrm{d}f_\sigma)_x + f_\sigma(x)\frac{\partial_2\kappa(x,x)}{\kappa(x,x)} \in \{x\} \times \mathcal{B}(T_x\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}).$$

Hence the covariant derivative ∇ can be identified with the first order linear differential operator $\nabla\colon \Omega^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C}) \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C})$ defined by

$$(\forall f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C})) \quad \nabla f = \mathrm{d}f + \alpha_\kappa \cdot f \tag{6.1}$$

where the differential 1-form $\alpha_\kappa \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C})$ is defined by $(\alpha_\kappa)_x = \dfrac{\partial_2\kappa(x,x)}{\kappa(x,x)}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. For arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\zeta_K(x) = \mathbf{C} \cdot \kappa_x$, where $\kappa_x = \kappa(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H}^\kappa$ and $(\kappa_x \mid \kappa_x)_{\mathcal{H}^\kappa} = \kappa(x,x)$. Therefore

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X}) \quad p_{\zeta_K(x)} = \frac{(\cdot \mid \kappa_x)}{\kappa(x,x)}\kappa_x.$$

Moreover

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X})(\forall v \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbf{C}) \quad \widehat{K}(x,v) = v\kappa_x = v\kappa(\cdot, x).$$

Now consider a smooth path $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((-t_0, t_0), \mathcal{X})$ with $\gamma(0) = x \in \mathcal{X}$ and a smooth section $\sigma(\cdot) = (\cdot, f_\sigma(\cdot))$, where $f_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C})$. For arbitrary $t \in (-t_0, t_0)$ we have $\widehat{K}(\sigma(\gamma(t))) = \widehat{K}(\gamma(t), f_\sigma(\gamma(t))) = f_\sigma(\gamma(t))\kappa(\cdot, \gamma(t)) = f_\sigma(\gamma(t))\kappa_{\gamma(t)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\zeta_K(x)}(\widehat{K}(\sigma(\gamma(t)))) &= \frac{(\widehat{K}(\sigma(\gamma(t))) \mid \kappa_x)}{\kappa(x,x)}\kappa_x = \frac{(f_\sigma(\gamma(t))\kappa_{\gamma(t)} \mid \kappa_x)}{\kappa(x,x)}\kappa_x \\ &= f_\sigma(\gamma(t))\frac{\kappa(x,\gamma(t))}{\kappa(x,x)}\kappa_x \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} p_{\zeta_K(x)}(\widehat{K}(\sigma(\gamma(t)))) = \Big(\mathrm{d}f_\sigma(\dot\gamma(0)) + f_\sigma(x)\frac{(\partial_2\kappa(x,x))(\dot\gamma(0))}{\kappa(x,x)}\Big)\kappa_x.$$

Therefore, by using Theorem 5.3 it follows that for any smooth section $\sigma(\cdot) = (\cdot, f_\sigma(\cdot))$, where $f_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Z, \mathbf{C})$, we have

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X}) \quad (\nabla\sigma)_x = (x, (\mathrm{d}f_\sigma)_x + f_\sigma(x)\frac{\partial_2\kappa(x,x)}{\kappa(x,x)} \in \{x\} \times \mathcal{B}(T_x\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{C})$$

as we wanted to show. □

We next recall classical reproducing kernels on one-dimensional trivial vector bundles arising in function theory, and whose linear connections can be computed using the above formula (6.1).

(b) *Classical (scalar-valued) reproducing kernels:* Bergman and Hardy spaces on the disk and the half-plane; Fock space.

(b.1) Take $\nu > 1$. Let $\mathfrak{B}^2_\nu(\mathbf{D})$ denote the so-called *Bergman space*, which is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on the unit disk, $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{D})$, such that

$$\frac{(\nu-1)}{\pi}\int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{\nu-2}\,dz < \infty,$$

where dz is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{D}$. Clearly, the polynomial functions belong to $\mathfrak{B}^2_\nu(\mathbf{D})$. In the "limiting case" $\nu = 1$ one gets the *Hardy space* $\mathfrak{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$, which is the Hilbert space of functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{D})$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0<r<1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2\,d\theta < \infty.$$

Let us denote for a while both the Bergman and Hardy spaces on the unit disk by the same symbol $\mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{D})$, $\nu \geq 1$ (the Hardy space corresponds to $\nu = 1$). These are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces with kernels

$$K^{(\nu)}_{\mathbf{D}}(s,t) = \frac{1}{(1-\bar{t}s)^\nu} \quad (s,t \in \mathbf{D}; \nu \geq 1);$$

see [Hi08], [Ne00], for instance.

In a similar way, let $\mathfrak{B}^2_\nu(\mathbf{U})$ denote the Bergman space on the upper halfplane $\mathbf{U} := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$, which is formed by all holomorphic functions F on $\mathbf{U}$ such that

$$\frac{(\nu-1)}{\pi}\int_{\mathbf{D}} |F(z)|^2(\operatorname{Im} z)^{\nu-2}\,dz < \infty.$$

It turns out that $\mathfrak{B}^2_\nu(\mathbf{U})$ is unitarily equivalent to $\mathfrak{B}^2_\nu(\mathbf{D})$ through the Cayley transform $\varphi(z) := \dfrac{z-i}{z+i}$, $(z \in \mathbf{U})$. The reproducing kernel of $\mathfrak{B}^2_\nu(\mathbf{U})$ is given by

$$K^{(\nu)}_{\mathbf{U}}(z,w) := \frac{1}{4}\frac{(2i)^\nu}{(z-\overline{w})^\nu}, \quad (z,w \in \mathbf{U});$$

see [Hi08, p. 16]. Let $\mathfrak{H}^2(\mathbf{U})$ be the Hardy space of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{U}$ satisfying

$$\sup_{y>0}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x+iy)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

The space $\mathfrak{H}^2(\mathbf{U})$ is also a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel

$$K^{(1)}_{\mathbf{U}}(z,w) := \frac{i}{2(z-\overline{w})}, \quad (z,w \in \mathbf{U});$$

see [Hi08, p. 19].

Unlike the Bergman case, the image of $\mathfrak{H}^2(\mathbf{U})$ via the unitary isomorphism induced by the Cayley transform φ is not all of $\mathfrak{H}^2(\mathbf{D})$, but just a closed proper subspace of it, see [Ho62, Ch. 8]. Despite this, we use the symbol $\mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{U})$ to refer both the Bergman and the Hardy spaces on $\mathbf{U}$. As in the unit disk case, the Hardy space corresponds to $\nu = 1$.

NOTE.- In the above expressions of the kernels in this example we have omitted the number $1/\pi$ despite that it appears in the expressions given in [Hi08]. It has been done so because we have preferred to maintain $1/\pi$ in the integral conditions defining the Bergman and Hardy spaces.

(b.2) Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a complex space and let $\beta\colon \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathbf{C}$ be a positive semidefinite hermitian form on $\mathcal{E}$. Then $K_{\mathcal{F},\beta}(z,w) := e^{\beta(z,w)}$, for $z,w \in \mathcal{E}$, is a reproducing kernel which generates a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{K_{\mathcal{F},\beta}}$ denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E},\beta) := \mathcal{H}^{K_{\mathcal{F},\beta}}$, and which is called *Fock space* associated with $\mathcal{E}$ and β. See [Ne00, p. 38].

When $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{C}^n$ is finite-dimensional, the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E},\beta)$ can be realized as the Hilbert space of all holomorphic functions F on $\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi^n}\int_{\mathcal{E}} |F(z)|^2\, e^{-\beta(z,z)} dz < \infty\, .$$

See [Hi08, pp. 25, 26].

On account of the above examples, former point (a) applies to:

(1) The set $\mathcal{X} := \mathbf{D}$, the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} := \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}^\kappa := \mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{D})$, with the trivial bundle $\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{D}$ and kernel $\kappa := K_{\mathbf{D}}^{(\nu)}$, the involution $s^{-*} := \overline{s}$ in $\mathbf{D}$, and the antilinear isometry $C(z) := \overline{z}$, $(z\in\mathbf{C})$. Notice that $K_{\mathbf{D}}^{(\nu)}(\overline{s},\overline{t}) = \overline{K_{\mathbf{D}}^{(\nu)}(s,t)}$ for all $s,t\in\mathbf{D}$.

(2) $\mathcal{X} := \mathbf{U}$, $\mathcal{H} := \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}^\kappa := \mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{U})$, with trivial bundle $\mathbf{U}\times\mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{U}$ and kernel $\kappa := K_{\mathbf{U}}^{(\nu)}$, $z^{-*} := -\overline{z}$ in $\mathbf{U}$, and $C(w) := \overline{w}$, $(w\in\mathbf{C})$.

(3) $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{H} := \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}^\kappa := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E},\beta)$, with trivial bundle $\mathcal{E}\times\mathbf{C}\to\mathcal{E}$ and kernel $\kappa := K_{\mathcal{F},\beta}$, $z^{-*} := J(z)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ for any involution J in $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\beta(Jz,Jw) = \overline{\beta(z,w)}$ $\forall z,w\in\mathcal{E}$, and $C(w) := \overline{w}$, $(w\in\mathbf{C})$. (The prototypical example of Fock space occurs when $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\beta(z,w) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j\overline{w_j}$, $z = (z_1,\dots,z_n), w = (w_1,\dots,w_n)\in\mathbf{C}^n$, $n\in\mathbf{N}$; see [Ne00, p. 10].)

It is straightforward to compute the first-order differential operator (6.1) (in this case the involution $-*$ and isometry C are the corresponding identity maps) in any of the above examples. Indeed,

(1) For $K_{\mathbf{D}}^{(\nu)}(s,t) = (1-\overline{t}s)^{-\nu}$ generating $\mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{D})$, $\nu\ge 1$, we have

$$(\nabla\sigma)_s(z) = \mathrm{d}f_\sigma(z) - \frac{\nu\, s f_\sigma(s)}{(1-|s|^2)}\,\overline{z}$$

for $\sigma\equiv f_\sigma\in\mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{C})$, $s\in\mathbf{D}$, $z\in\mathbf{C}$.

(2) For $K_{\mathbf{U}}^{(\nu)}(z,w) = \frac{1}{4}(2i)^\nu(z-\overline{w})^{-\nu}$ generating $\mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{U})$, $\nu\ge 1$, we have

$$(\nabla\sigma)_z(\lambda) = \mathrm{d}f_\sigma(\lambda) - \frac{\nu f_\sigma(z)}{\operatorname{Im} z}\,\overline{\lambda}$$

for $\sigma\equiv f_\sigma\in\mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{U},\mathbf{C})$, $z\in\mathbf{D}$, $\lambda\in\mathbf{C}$.

(3) For $K_{\mathcal{F},\beta}(z,w) = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^n z_j\overline{w_j})$, $z,w\in\mathbf{C}^n$, generating the Fock space on $\mathbf{C}^n$, one gets

$$(\nabla\sigma)_z(\lambda) = \mathrm{d}f_\sigma(\lambda) + f_\sigma(z)\sum_{j=1}^n z_j\overline{\lambda_j}$$

for $\sigma\equiv f_\sigma\in\mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{C}^n,\mathbf{C})$, $z = (z_j)_{j=1}^n, \lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n\in\mathbf{C}^n$.

Some specific properties of the differential operator ∇ as the $\bar\partial$-decomposition into $(0,1)$- and $(1,0)$-components will play a central role in a forthcoming paper devoted to the relationship between the linear connection induced by a reproducing kernel and the complex structure of the ambient vector bundle. We also defer to another paper the analysis of the linear connections associated with specific reproducing kernels and their applications to some representations of semisimple Lie groups in function spaces, incuding in particular holomorphic discrete series representations.

6.2. **Homogeneous fiber bundles.** Let G_A and G_B be two Banach-Lie groups, G_B Banach-Lie subgroup of G_A, with an involutive diffeomorphism "$*$" in G_A for which G_B is stable. For every $g\in G_A$, put $g^{-*} := (g^*)^{-1}$ and then define the involutive diffeomorphism $-*$ in the homogeneous space G_A/G_B by $(gG_B)^{-*} := g^{-*}G_B$ for every

$g \in G_A$. Let $\rho_A \colon G_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\rho_B \colon G_B \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ be uniformly continuous $*$-representations into Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_B$ and $\mathcal{H}_A$ respectively, such that $\mathcal{H}_B \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$, $\rho_B(g) = \rho_A(g)|_{\mathcal{H}_B}$ for $g \in G_B$ and $\mathcal{H}_A = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\, \pi_A(G_A)\mathcal{H}_B$.

Let us consider the homogeneous vector bundle $\Pi \colon G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$, induced by the representation ρ_B, with the involutive diffeomorphism $-*$ in the base G_A/G_B. We endowe Π with the $(-*)$-Hermitian-like structure given by

$$\big([(u,f)],[(u^{-*},h)]\big)_{s,s^{-*}} := (f \mid h)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad u \in G_A,\ f,h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let $P \colon \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$ be the orthogonal projection. We define the reproducing $(-*)$-kernel K_ρ on the vector bundle $\Pi \colon D = G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ by

$$K_\rho(uG_B, vG_B)[(v,f)] = [(u, P(\rho_A(u^{-1})\rho_A(v^{-*})f))], \tag{6.2}$$

for $uG_B, vG_B \in D$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_B$ (see [BG08]).

Proposition 6.2. *In the aqbove setting,*

(a) *the mapping $\widehat{K_\rho}$ is smooth;*

(b) *for every $s \in G_A/G_B$ the bounded linear operator $K_\rho(s,s^{-*})$ is invertible on D_s, so $\widehat{K_\rho}|_{D_s} \colon D_s \to \mathcal{H}^{K_\rho}$ is injective and its range is closed;*

(c) *the mapping*

$$\zeta_{K_\rho} \colon G_A/G_B \to \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^{K_\rho}), \quad \zeta_{K_\rho}(s) := \widehat{K_\rho}(D_s),$$

is smooth.

Proof. We set $K = K_\rho$ throughout the proof. Clearly, $K(s,s^{-*}) = \mathrm{id}_{D_s}$ for all $s \in G_A/G_B$. Thus property (b) holds.

By similar arguments to those of [BG08, Sect. 4] one obtains that there exists a unitary operator $W \colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{H}_A$ such that $W(K_\eta) = \pi_A(v)g$ whenever $\eta = [(v,g)] \in D$. Also, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_A \times \mathcal{H}_B \arrow[r, "(v,g)\mapsto\rho_A(v)g"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & \mathcal{H}_A \arrow[d, "W^{-1}"] \\
D \arrow[r, "\widehat{K}"] & \mathcal{H}^K
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $q \colon G_A \times \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B = D$ is the quotient map. Since the upper horizontal arrow is clearly a smooth map, it follows by this commutative diagram that $\widehat{K} \circ q$ is smooth. Since the quotient map q is a submersion, it then follows by [Up85, Cor. 8.4] that $\widehat{K} \colon D \to \mathcal{H}^K$ is smooth, and thus the reproducing $(-*)$-kernel K satisfies property (a) as well.

It remains to check assertion (c). We have to prove that the mapping

$$\zeta_K \colon G_A/G_B \to \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K), \quad s \mapsto \widehat{K}(D_s)$$

is smooth. The unitary operator $W \colon \mathcal{H}^K \to \mathcal{H}_A$ induces a diffeomorphism

$$\widetilde{W} \colon \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{H}^K) \to \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{H}_A), \quad \mathcal{S} \mapsto W(\mathcal{S}),$$

hence it will be enough to show that the mapping $\widetilde{W} \circ \zeta_K \colon G_A/G_B \to \operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is smooth. To this end, note that for every $s = uG_B \in G_A/G_B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{W} \circ \zeta_K(uG_B) &= W(\{[(u,f)] \in D \mid f \in \mathcal{H}_B\}) \\
&= \{\rho_A(u)f \mid f \in \mathcal{H}_B\} \\
&= \rho_A(u)\mathcal{H}_B
\end{aligned}$$

hence there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_A \arrow[r, "\rho_A"] \arrow[d, "u\mapsto uG_B"'] & \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_A) \arrow[d, "V\mapsto V(\mathcal{H}_B)"] \\
G_A/G_B \arrow[r, "\widetilde{W}\circ\zeta_K"] & \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{H}_A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose vertical arrows are submersions. It then follows by [Up85, Cor. 8.4] that the mapping $\widetilde{W}\circ\zeta_K$ is smooth, and we are done. $\square$

It is straighforward to check that the map $\Phi_{K_\rho}\colon T(G_A\times_{G_B}\mathcal{H}_B)\to T(G_A\times_{G_B}\mathcal{H}_B)$ given for every $g\in G_A$, $X\in\mathfrak{g}_A$ and $f,h\in\mathcal{H}_B$ by

$$\Phi_{K_\rho}\colon [((g,X),(f,h))]\mapsto [((g,0),(f,P(d\rho(X)f)+h)]$$

is a linear connection on the homogeneous bundle $\Pi\colon G_A\times_{G_B}\mathcal{H}_B\to G_A/G_B$.

Definition 6.3. We say that Φ_{K_ρ} is the *natural connection associated with* Π.

We will justify the above definition with the result in Proposition 6.5 (b).

In general, a vector bundle Π as that one of Proposition 6.2 need not have an involution τ as in Definition 4.4. It is possible to provide Π with such an involution if we assume the following.

Suppose that there exists an involutive isometry $C\colon\mathcal{H}_A\to\mathcal{H}_A$ such that

$$\text{(i) } C(\mathcal{H}_B)=\mathcal{H}_B,\ \text{ and (ii) } \rho_A(u^{-*})=C\rho_A(u)C,\quad (u\in G_A). \tag{6.3}$$

Note that in the above assumptions we can take C as the identity whenever ρ is a unitary representation.

Then let τ_C be the involution in $D:=G_A\times_{G_B}\mathcal{H}_B$ given by

$$\tau_C\colon [(u,f)]\in D\mapsto [(u^{-*},C(f))]\in D,$$

which is well defined because of condition 6.3 (ii). As a matter of fact, the kernel K_ρ is self-involutive with respect to the involution τ. To see this, first we prove the following simple lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. For any closed subspace S of $\mathcal{H}$ we denote by P_S the orthogonal projection $P\colon\mathcal{H}\to S$. Then we have*

$$P_{C(S)}=CP_SC.$$

Proof. Given any $x\in\mathcal{H}$, we know that $P_S(x)$ is characterized as the only element in S such that

$$(x-P_S(x)\mid y)_{\mathcal{H}}=0,\qquad (y\in S).$$

Hence, if C is linear, we have for every $y\in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bigl(x-(CP_{C(S)}C)(x)\mid y\bigr)_{\mathcal{H}}&=\bigl(C(Cx-P_{C(S)}(Cx))\mid y\bigr)_{\mathcal{H}}\\&=\bigl(Cx-P_{C(S)}(Cx)\mid Cy\bigr)_{\mathcal{H}}=0\end{aligned}$$

since $Cy\in C(S)$. (If the isometry C is antilinear, then the last equality should be replaced by $\bigl(Cy\mid Cx-P_{C(S)}(Cx)\bigr)_{\mathcal{H}}=0$.) So by uniqueness we get the wished-for equation $P_{C(S)}=CP_SC$. $\square$

As seen in the proof of Proposition 6.2, by using the unitary operator W we may suppose that $\mathcal{H}^{K_\rho}=\mathcal{H}_A$. Then the classifying morphism $(\delta_{K_\rho},\zeta_{K_\rho})$ takes the form

$$\delta_{K_\rho}\colon [(g,f)]\mapsto(\rho_A(g)\mathcal{H}_B,\rho_A(g)f),\ G_A\times_{G_B}\mathcal{H}_B\to\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_B}(\mathcal{H}_A),$$

and

$$\zeta_{K_\rho}\colon gG_B \mapsto \rho_A(g)\mathcal{H}_B,\ G_A/G_B \to \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_B}(\mathcal{H}_A).$$

Proposition 6.5. *For $\delta^C_{K_\rho}$ and $\zeta^C_{K_\rho}$ as above,*

(a) *the reproducing kernel K_ρ is self-involutive for the involution τ_C;*
(b) *the connection on the involutive homogeneous bundle $G_A \times_{\mathrm{G}_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to G_A/G_B$ obtained as the pullback $(\Delta^C_{K_\rho})^*(\Phi_{E_P})$ of the universal connection Φ_{E_P} through the morphism $\Delta^C_{K_\rho} = (\delta^C_{K_\rho}, \zeta^C_{K_\rho})$ coincides with the connection given by*

$$\Phi_{K_\rho}\colon [((g,X),(f,h))] \mapsto [((g,0),(f,P(d\rho(X)f)+h)],$$

for every $g \in G_A$, $X \in \mathcal{G}_A$, and $f,h \in \mathcal{H}_B$.

Proof. (a) Write $K = K_\rho$, $\tau = \tau_C$ and recall that $P = P_{\mathcal{H}_B}$. For $s = uG_B, t = vG_B \in G_A/G_B$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_B$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\tau \circ K(s^{-*},t^{-*}) \circ \tau\right)\left([(v^{-*},f)]\right) &= \left(\tau \circ K(s^{-*},t^{-*})\right)([(v,Cf)])\\ &= \tau\left([(u^{-*},P(\rho_A(u^*v)Cf))]\right) = [(u, CP_{\mathcal{H}_B}(\rho(u^*v)Cf))]\\ &= \left[\left(u, P_{C(\mathcal{H}_B)}(C\rho(u^*v)Cf)\right)\right]\\ &= \left[\left(u, P(u^{-1}v^{-*})f)\right)\right] = K(s,t)\left([(v^{-*},f)]\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 6.4 for the fourth equality and (6.3) for the next-to-last equality.

(b) In this part of the proof we are using the presentation $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \simeq \mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}([P])} \mathcal{H}_B$, with $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, of Example 3.10 prior to Definition 3.11. Put $\delta = \delta_{K_\rho}$, $\zeta = \zeta_{K_\rho}$. By Proposition 2.6 we have that the pullback $\Phi := (\delta^C, \zeta^C)^*\Phi_{E_P}$, where Φ_{E_P} is as in Definition 3.3, is a linear connection on Π. In fact, by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\Phi_{E_P}\colon [((u,Y),(f,h))] \mapsto [((u,0),(E_P(u)f+h))]$$

for $u \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $f,h \in \mathcal{H}_B$. On the other hand, the tangent map $T\delta^C$ is given by

$$T\delta^C\colon [((g,X),(f,h))] \mapsto [((\rho(g^{-*}), -\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*),(Cf,Ch))],$$

for $g \in G_A$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_A$, $f,h \in \mathcal{H}_B$. Thus the fiberwise composition $\Phi = (T\delta^C)^{-1} \circ \Phi_{E_P} \circ T\delta^C$ gives us

$$\Phi\colon [((g,X),(f,h))] \mapsto [((g,0),(f, CE_P(-\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*)Cf + Ch))].$$

Now, from the relationship $C\rho(u)C = \rho(u)^{-*}$ ($u \in G_A$) it follows that

$$C\mathrm{d}\rho(X)C = -\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_A.$$

Also, note that $E_P(\mathrm{d}\rho(X))f = P(\mathrm{d}\rho(X)f)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_A$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_B$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}CE_P(-\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*)Cf &= CP(-\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*Cf)\\ &= PC(-\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*Cf)\\ &= P(C(-\mathrm{d}\rho(X)^*)Cf) = P(\mathrm{d}\rho(X)f).\end{aligned}$$

and therefore we obtain that $\Phi = \Phi_{K_\rho}$ as it was claimed. □

In the next proposition we compute the covariant derivative associated with a homogeneous reproducing kernel. In order to state the result, note that if $\mathfrak{g}_A$ and $\mathfrak{g}_B$ are the Lie algebras of G_A and G_B, respectively, then the adjoint action of G_B on $\mathfrak{g}_A$ gives rise to a linear action on the quotient $\mathfrak{g}_A/\mathfrak{g}_B$ and we can then form the homogeneous vector bundle $G_A \times_{G_B} (\mathfrak{g}_A/\mathfrak{g}_B)$, which is isomorphic to the tangent bundle $T(G_A/G_B)$. For any closed linear subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_A$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g}_B \dotplus \mathfrak{m}$ we have a linear topological isomorphism $\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_A/\mathfrak{g}_B$, which gives rise to a natural linear action of G_B on $\mathfrak{m}$, hence to a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathrm{G}_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{m}$ which can be identified with $T(G_A/G_B)$. In the special case $G_B = \{\mathbf{1}\}$ we get the identification $T(G_A) = G_A \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_A$ (see Remark 2.2), so for every smooth function $\tilde{\sigma}\colon G_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$ we have $\mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}\colon G_A \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$.

Proposition 6.6. *Assume that the linear subspace spanned by $\rho_A(G_A)\mathcal{H}_B$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_A$ and denote the reproducing kernel of* (6.2) *simply by K. Let $\tilde{\sigma}\colon G_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$ be any smooth function such that $\tilde{\sigma}(uw) = \rho_B(w)^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(u)$ for all $u \in G_A$ and $w \in G_B$, and define the smooth section $\sigma\colon G_A/G_B \to G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B$ by $\sigma(uG_B) = [(u, \tilde{\sigma}(u))]$ for all $u \in G_B$. Then for every tangent vector $[(u, X)] \in G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{m} = T(G_A/G_B)$ we have*

$$(\nabla\sigma)([(u, X)]) = [(u, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, X) + P_{\mathcal{H}_B}(\rho_A(u)^{-1} p_{\rho_A(u)\mathcal{H}_B} \rho_A(u)\mathrm{d}\rho(X)(\tilde{\sigma}(u))))].$$

In particular, if ρ is in addition unitary then

$$(\nabla\sigma)([(u, X)]) = [(u, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, X) + P(\mathrm{d}\rho_A(X)\tilde{\sigma}(u)))].$$

Proof. The specialization to the case when $\rho_A(u)$ is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}_A$ follows from the general formula, since then $p_{\rho_A(u)} = \rho_A(u)P\rho_A(u)^{-1}$, and moreover $\mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, X) \in \mathcal{H}_B$.

For proving the general formula, recall from the proof of Proposition 6.2 the unitary operator W and denote $\iota = W^{-1}\colon \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}^K$. Then for every $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$ we have $\iota(h) = [(\cdot, P(\rho_A(\cdot)^{-1}h))]$, hence

$$(\forall u \in G_A) \quad (\iota(h))(uG_B) = [(u, P(\rho_A(u)^{-1}h))]. \tag{6.4}$$

On the other hand, the function $\widehat{K}\colon G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B \to \mathcal{H}^K$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{K}([(v, f)]) = K(\cdot, v^{-*}G_B)[(v, f)] = [(\cdot, P(\rho_A(\cdot)^{-1}\rho_A(v)f))] = \iota(\rho_A(v)f)$$

for every $[(v, f)] \in\colon G_A \times_{G_B} \mathcal{H}_B$. Now pick any $[(u, X)] \in G_A \times_{G_B} \mathfrak{m} = T(G_A/G_B)$ and define $\tilde{\gamma}_X(t) := \exp_{G_A}(tX)$, $\gamma_X(t) := \tilde{\gamma}_X(t)G_B$, and $u\gamma_X(t) := u\tilde{\gamma}_X(t)G_B$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $\sigma(u\gamma_X(t)) = [(u\tilde{\gamma}_X(t), \tilde{\sigma}(u\tilde{\gamma}_X(t)))]$, so $\widehat{K}(\sigma(u\gamma_X(t))) = \iota(\rho_A(u)\rho_A(\tilde{\gamma}_X(t))\tilde{\sigma}(u\tilde{\gamma}_X(t)))$. Therefore

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \widehat{K}(\sigma(u\gamma_X(t))) = \iota(\rho_A(u)(\mathrm{d}\rho_A(X)\tilde{\sigma}(u) + \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, X))),$$

which entails

$$p_{\iota(\rho_A(u)\mathcal{H}_B)}(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \widehat{K}(\sigma(u\gamma_X(t)))) = \iota(p_{\rho_A(u)\mathcal{H}_B}(\rho_A(u)(\mathrm{d}\rho_A(X)\tilde{\sigma}(u) + \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, X)))).$$

Since $K(s, s^{-*}) = \mathrm{id}$ for every $s \in G_A/G_B$, an application of Theorem 5.3 along with (6.4) concludes the proof. □

Remark 6.7. Since the tautological bundle $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}\colon \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H})$ is diffeomorphic to its homogeneous version $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H}) \times_{\mathrm{U}(p_{\mathcal{S}_0})} \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathrm{U}(p_{\mathcal{S}_0})$, the two expressions of the covariant derivative, associated with the natural connection on $\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}$, given in Proposition 3.12 and Proposition 6.6 must coincide. In fact, using the notations of those propositions and identifying $u\mathcal{S}_0 = u\mathrm{U}(p_{\mathcal{S}_0})$ we have that $f_\sigma(u\mathcal{S}_0) \equiv u\tilde{\sigma}(u\mathcal{S}_0)$, where we are considering $\tilde{\sigma}\colon \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathrm{U}(p_{\mathcal{S}_0}) \to \mathcal{S}_0$ rather than its $\mathrm{U}(p_{\mathcal{S}_0})$-equivariant version from $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ into $\mathcal{S}_0$. Then, for $X = uY \equiv [(u, Y)] \in T_{u\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}))$ with $Y \in Ker_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}}$, by differentiating in $f_\sigma(u\mathcal{S}_0) = u\tilde{\sigma}(u\mathcal{S}_0)$ we get $\mathrm{d}f_\sigma(X) = u(Y\tilde{\sigma}(u) + \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, Y))$ whence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{u\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathrm{d}f_\sigma(X)) &= P_{u\mathcal{S}_0}(u(Y\tilde{\sigma}(u) + \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, Y))) \\ &= uP_{\mathcal{S}_0}(Y\tilde{\sigma}(u) + \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, Y)) \\ &\equiv [(u, P_{\mathcal{S}_0}(Y\tilde{\sigma}(u)) + \mathrm{d}\tilde{\sigma}(u, Y))] \end{aligned}$$

as it was claimed.

6.3. **Completely positive mappings.** To end the paper, we examine here the geometry inherent to completely positive mappings. To begin with, we show that such a mapping can be interpreted as a geometric object in at least two related but different meanings.

(a) *Completely positive mappings* (the fundamental dilation equation).

Let us give a new perspective about the fundamental relationship between completely positive mappings $\Psi\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and their Stinespring dilations $\lambda\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by the equation

$$\Psi(a) = V^*\lambda(a)V \quad (a \in A), \tag{6.5}$$

to the light of reproducing kernels and classifying morphisms.

In the sequel of this paper, we assume that the dilation λ is minimal.

First of all, it is clear that setting $K^\Psi(s,t) := \Psi(s^{-1}t)$ and $K^\lambda(s,t) := \lambda(s^{-1}t)$, for all $s,t \in \mathrm{U}_A$, we get K^Ψ and K^λ two reproducing kernels for the trivial vector bundles $\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathrm{U}_A$ and $\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{U}_A$, respectively. Moreover, the mapping $\Theta_V = (\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}_A} \times V, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}_A})$, where V is the isometry going from $\mathcal{H}_0$ into $\mathcal{H}$, is a morphism between the two preceding vector bundles, for which the equality $\Psi(a) = V^*\lambda(a)V$, $(a \in A)$ is equivalent to say that K^Ψ is the pullback kernel of K^λ through Θ_V. That is,

$$\Theta_V^* K^\lambda = K^\Psi.$$

(In the above, the involutions considered are the corresponding identity maps.)

As regards classifying morphisms, let us start noticing the well known fact that $V^*V = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$, $VV^* = P_{V(\mathcal{H}_0)}$. Put $\mathcal{S}_0 := V(\mathcal{H}_0)$. Then the natural kernel, associated with λ, for the bundle $\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathrm{U}_A$, is

$$K_0^\lambda(s,t)h := P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(s^{-1}t)\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0}, \quad (s,t \in \mathrm{U}_A).$$

Incidentally, note that the equality (6.5) can be written alternatively as

$$\Psi(a) = V^* P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(a)\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0} V, \quad (a \in A), \tag{6.6}$$

(This is simple to show; in fact, for $a \in A$,

$$\Psi(a) = V^*\lambda(a)V = (V^*V)V^*\lambda(a)V(V^*V) = V^*P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(a)\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0}V.)$$

Let $\xi = (s,h)$ be in the fiber $\{s\} \times \mathcal{S}_0$. Then for all $t \in \mathrm{U}_A$,

$$(K_0^\lambda)_\xi(t) = K_0^\lambda(t,s)(s,h) \equiv (P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(t^{-1}s)\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0})(s,h) = P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(t^{-1})\lambda(s)h),$$

whence it follows that $(K_0^\lambda)_\xi$ can be identified with $\lambda(s)h$ as the function acting on t as above. Thus the classifying morphism Δ_λ for the kernel K_0^λ is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(s,h) \in \mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{S}_0 \arrow[r, "\delta_\lambda"] \arrow[d, "p_{\mathcal{S}_0}"'] & (\lambda(s)\mathcal{S}_0, \lambda(s)h) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}"] \\
s \in \mathrm{U}_A \arrow[r, "\zeta_\lambda"] & \lambda(s)\mathcal{S}_0 \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) .
\end{tikzcd}$$

As a matter of fact, on account that the transpose mapping of $(\delta_\lambda)_s \equiv \lambda(s)$ is equal to $\lambda(s^{-1})$ for each $s \in \mathrm{U}_A$, one obtains that $\Delta_\lambda^* Q_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0} = K_0^\lambda$, as it had to be from the universal theorem for kernels.

Thus the classifying morphism Δ_Ψ for K^Ψ is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{H}_0 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}_A} \times V"] \arrow[d, "p_{\mathrm{U}_A}"'] & \mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{S}_0 \arrow[r, "\delta_\lambda"] \arrow[d, "p_{\mathrm{U}_A}"] & \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) \arrow[d, "\Pi_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}"] \\
\mathrm{U}_A \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{U}_A}"] & \mathrm{U}_A \arrow[r, "\zeta_\lambda"] & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\mathcal{H}) ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the universal theorem tells us that, for $s,t \in \mathrm{U}_A$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(s^{-1}t) = K^{\Psi}(s,t) &= \Delta_{\Psi}^{*} Q_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}(s,t) = (\Delta_{\lambda}\Theta_V)^{*} Q_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}(s,t) \\ &= \Theta_V^{*}\Delta_{\lambda}^{*} Q_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{S}_0}(s,t) = \Theta_V^{*} K_0^{\lambda}(s,t) = V^{*}P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(s^{-1}t)\iota_{\mathcal{S}_0}V.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that $A = \mathrm{span}\,\mathrm{U}_A$, and so we have that the last equality is equivalent to (6.6). In other words, the Stinespring dilation theorem, summarized in the formula (6.5), can be regarded as an instance of the universality theorem for reproducing kernels of vector bundles.

We have shown that a completely positive map Ψ can be seen as a reproducing kernel, under the form $(s,t) \mapsto \Psi(s^{-1}t)$. Let us compute the connection and covariant derivative associated with the above interpretation.

For $f \in \mathcal{H}_0$, $a \in \mathfrak{u}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_0 = V(\mathcal{H}_0)$,

$$E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}}(\mathrm{d}\lambda(a))Vf = E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}}(\lambda(a))Vf = p_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\lambda(a)Vf) = VV^{*}(\lambda(a))Vf = V\Psi(a)f.$$

Then, using the classifying morphism Δ_Ψ and the corresponding pullback operation for connections, we have that the natural connection on the bundle $\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathrm{U}_A$ for the kernel Ψ is obtained as the composition

$$\begin{aligned}([(s,a)],(f,h)) &\mapsto ([(\lambda(s),\mathrm{d}\lambda(a))],(Vf,Vh)) \\ &\mapsto ([(\lambda(s),0)],(Vf,Vh + E_{p_{\mathcal{S}_0}}(\mathrm{d}\lambda(a)Vf)) \\ &= ([(\lambda(s),0)],(Vf,Vh + V\Psi(a)Vf)) \mapsto ([(s,0)],(f,h+\Psi(a)Vf)).\end{aligned}$$

for every $s \in \mathrm{U}_A$, $a \in \mathfrak{u}_A$, $f,h \in \mathcal{H}_0$. In other words, the completely positive map Ψ can be regarded as a connection Φ_Ψ on the trivial bundle in the form of the correspondence $\Phi_\Psi \colon (f,h) \mapsto h + \Psi(a)f$.

To compute the covariant derivative ∇_Ψ of the connection Φ_Ψ, note that there exists an isometry $\iota_\lambda \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}^{K_0^\lambda}$ defined by $\iota_\lambda(h) = P_{\mathcal{S}_0}\lambda(\,\cdot\,)^{-1}h$. Then, using an argument similar to that one of Proposition 6.6, we find that the covariant derivative associated to the kernel K_0^λ is given by

$$\nabla\sigma_0([(u,a)]) = \mathrm{d}\sigma_0(u,a) + P_{\mathcal{S}_0}(\lambda(a)\sigma_0(u))$$

for every $u \in \mathrm{U}_A$, $a \in \mathfrak{u}_A$ and every section $\sigma_0 \colon \mathrm{U}_A \to \mathcal{S}_0$ of the bundle $\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathrm{U}_A$.

Take now any section $\sigma \colon \mathrm{U}_A \to \mathcal{H}_0$ of the bundle $\mathrm{U}_A \times \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathrm{U}_A$ and put $\sigma_0 := V\sigma \colon \mathrm{U}_A \to \mathcal{S}_0$. Since $\mathrm{d}\sigma_0 = V\mathrm{d}\sigma$ and $P_{\mathcal{S}_0} = VV^*$, by application of Corollary 2.8 we obtain for $u \in \mathrm{U}_A$ and $a \in \mathfrak{u}_A$, that

$$\nabla_\Psi\sigma([(u,a)]) = \mathrm{d}\sigma(u,a) + \Phi(a)\sigma(u).$$

This is our interpretation of the completely positive map Ψ as a covariant derivative.

We next show how to construct an example of Proposition 6.6 using completely positive mappings and conditional expectations suitably related in between.

(b) *Involutive homogeneous bundles and CP mappings.*

First we give a result about dilations of CP maps acting on the right.

Lemma 6.8. *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra, $\mathcal{H}_0$ a complex Hilbert space and $\Psi \colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ a unital completely positive map. Assume that Ψ is tracial, that is,*

$$\Psi(ab) = \Psi(ba), \quad (a,b \in A).$$

Then there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, an isometry $V \colon \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}$, and a unital $*$-representation $\rho \colon \mathrm{G}_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\Psi(a) = V^*\rho(a^{-1})V, \quad (a \in \mathrm{G}_A).$$

Proof. First we sketch briefly how to find $\mathcal{H}$ and V according to the proof of the Stinespring's theorem given in [Pa02, Theorem 4.1], for instance.

Define a nonnegative sesquilinear form on $A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0$ by the formula

$$\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \otimes \eta_j \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \otimes \xi_i\Big) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\Phi(a_i^* b_j)\eta_j \mid \xi_i)$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in A$, $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $n \geq 1$. Consider the linear space $N = \{x \in A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0 \mid (x \mid x) = 0\}$ and denote by $\mathcal{K}_0$ the Hilbert space obtained as the completion of $(A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0)/N$ with respect to the scalar product defined by $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ on this quotient space. Then denote by $V \colon \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{K}_0$ the bounded linear map obtained as the composition

$$V \colon \mathcal{H}_0 \to A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0 \to (A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0)/N \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_0,$$

where the first map is defined by $A \ni h \mapsto \mathbf{1} \otimes h \in A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0$ and the second map is the natural quotient map. It is readily seen that V is an isometry since Ψ is unital.

Next we are going to construct ρ by linear maps on $A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0$. So define

$$(\forall x \in A, a \in \mathrm{G}_A)(\forall \eta \in \mathcal{H}_0) \qquad \rho(a)(x \otimes \eta) = xa^{-1} \otimes \eta.$$

For $y_i \in A$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $b \in A$ the following inequality between positive matrices in $M_n(A)$ holds:

$$(y_i^* b^* b y_j)_{i,j} \leq \|b^* b\| \, (y_i^* y_j)_{i,j} \, ; \tag{6.7}$$

see [Pa02, p. 44].

Take then $x_i \in A$, $\xi_i \in \mathcal{H}_0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $a \in \mathrm{G}_A$. Since Ψ is tracial we have $\Psi(a^{-*} x_j^* x_i a^{-1}) = \Psi(x_i a^{-1} a^{-*} x_j^*)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a^{-1} \otimes \xi_i \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j a^{-1} \otimes \xi_j) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \big(\Psi(a^{-*} x_j^* x_i a^{-1})\xi \mid \xi_j\big)_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \big(\Psi(x_i a^{-1} a^{-*} x_j^*)\xi \mid \xi_j\big)_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\
&\leq \|a^{-1} a^{-*}\| \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \big(\Psi(x_i x_j^*)\xi \mid \xi_j\big)_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\
&= \|a^{-1}\|^2 \, (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i a^{-1} \otimes \xi_i \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j a^{-1} \otimes \xi_j),
\end{aligned}$$

where, for the inequality, we have used (6.7) and the complete positivenes of Ψ.

Thus in particular N is invariant under the action of ρ, so that the linear map $\rho(a)$ extends to a linear map (which we continue denoting in the same way) on $A \times \mathcal{H}_0$ defined by

$$\rho(a) \colon x \otimes \xi \in A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0 \mapsto xa^{-1} \otimes \xi \in A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0,$$

which extends in turn as a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}$ into itself because of the preceding inequality.

Now, it is straightforward to check that the so defined map $\rho \colon \mathrm{G}_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-representation, as well as that it satisfies the equation $\Psi(a) = V^* \rho(a^{-1}) V$, $(a \in \mathrm{G}_A)$. □

Recall that if, instead of the inverse-right multiplication $x \otimes \xi \mapsto xa^{-1} \otimes \xi$ in $A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0$, one considers the left multiplication $x \otimes \xi \mapsto ax \otimes \xi$, $a \in \mathrm{G}_A$, then one gets precisely the Stinespring dilation λ of Ψ on $\mathcal{H}$, which satisfies $\Psi(a) = V^* \lambda(a) V$ for all $a \in A$.

We call *two-sided Stinespring representation* of a *tracial* completely positive mapping $\Psi\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the group representation $\sigma\colon \mathrm{G}_A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which is the composition of the above representations λ and ρ:

$$\sigma(a) = \lambda(a) \circ \rho(a) = \rho(a) \circ \lambda(a)\,, \quad (a \in \mathrm{G}_A).$$

Proposition 6.9. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{1} \in B \subseteq A$ *are* C^**-algebras with a conditional expectation* $E\colon A \to B$ *and that there exists a tracial, unital completely positive map* $\Psi\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ *satisfying* $\Psi \circ E = \Psi$*, where* $\mathcal{H}_0$ *is a complex Hilbert space. Suppose also that there exists a conjugate-linear isometry* $C_0\colon \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}_0$ *such that*

$$\Psi(a^*) = C_0 \circ \Psi(a) \circ C_0, \quad (a \in A).$$

Denote by $\sigma_A\colon A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ *and* $\sigma_B\colon B \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ *the two-sided Stinespring representations associated with* Φ *and* $\Phi|_B$*, respectively. Let* $C\colon \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_A$ *be the conjugate-linear isometry from* $\mathcal{H}_A$ *onto itself induced by the mapping*

$$a \otimes f \mapsto a^* \otimes C_0(f), A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0 \to A \otimes \mathcal{H}_0.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_B \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$, $C(\mathcal{H}_B) = \mathcal{H}_B$*, and the kernel* K_σ *given, for* $u, v \in \mathrm{G}_A$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{H}_B$*, by*

$$K_\sigma(u\mathrm{G}_B, v\mathrm{G}_B)\,([(v,f)]) := \bigl[(u, P_{\mathcal{H}_B}(\sigma_A(uv^{-*})f))\bigr]$$

is self-involutive with respect to the involution τ_C *in* $D_\sigma := \mathrm{G}_A \times_{\mathrm{G}_B,\sigma} \mathcal{H}_B$ *defined as*

$$\tau_C\colon [(a,h)] \mapsto [(a^{-*}, Ch)], \quad (a \in \mathrm{G}_A, h \in \mathcal{H}_B).$$

Proof. In [BG08, Lemma 6.7], it has been proven that $\mathcal{H}_B \subseteq \mathcal{H}_A$ and that for every $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $b \in B$ we have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\iota_{h_0}"] \arrow[d, "E"'] & \mathcal{H}_A \arrow[r, "\lambda_A(b)"] \arrow[d, "P_{\mathcal{H}_B}"'] & \mathcal{H}_A \arrow[d, "P_{\mathcal{H}_B}"] \\
B \arrow[r, "\iota_{h_0}"] & \mathcal{H}_B \arrow[r, "\lambda_B(b)"] & \mathcal{H}_B
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\iota_{h_0}\colon A \to \mathcal{H}_A$ is the map induced by $a \mapsto a \otimes h_0$. In fact, the commutativity of the right diagram is the simple part, and by a similar argument it can be shown that $\rho_B(b) \circ P_{\mathcal{H}_B} = P_{\mathcal{H}_B} \circ \rho_B(b)$ for all $b \in B$. Having in mind that $\sigma = \rho \circ \lambda$ we get the commutativity, for every $b \in B$, of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\iota_{h_0}"] \arrow[d, "E"'] & \mathcal{H}_A \arrow[r, "\sigma_A(b)"] \arrow[d, "P_{\mathcal{H}_B}"'] & \mathcal{H}_A \arrow[d, "P_{\mathcal{H}_B}"] \\
B \arrow[r, "\iota_{h_0}"] & \mathcal{H}_B \arrow[r, "\sigma_B(b)"] & \mathcal{H}_B
\end{tikzcd}$$

This enables us to conclude that the kernel K_σ is well defined.

As for the definition of the isometry C we do observe that for $a_i \in A$, $h_i \in \mathcal{H}_0$, with $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \otimes C_0 h_i \mid \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^* \otimes C_0 h_j)_{\mathcal{H}_\Psi} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\Psi(a_j a_i^*) C_0 h_i \mid C_0 h_j)_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\Psi(a_i^* a_j) C_0 h_i \mid C_0 h_j)_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bigl(C_0 \Psi(a_j^* a_i) h_i \mid C_0 h_j\bigr)_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bigl(h_j \mid \Psi(a_j^* a_i) h_i\bigr)_{\mathcal{H}_0} = (\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes h_j \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_j \otimes h_i)_{\mathcal{H}_\Psi}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that the antilinear mapping $C\colon A\otimes\mathcal{H}_0\to A\otimes\mathcal{H}_0$ defined by the prescription $a\otimes h\mapsto a^*\otimes C_0h$ is an isometry and leaves invariant the null subspace $N:=\{\alpha\in A\otimes\mathcal{H}_0:(\alpha\mid\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}_\Psi}=0\}$. Thus it extends as a conjugate-linear isometry to $\mathcal{H}$.

To end the proof we are going to apply Proposition 6.5, so it only remains to show that $\sigma_A(a^{-*})=C\sigma_A(a)C$ for each $a\in \mathrm{G}_A$. To do this, take $f:=(x\otimes h)+N$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with $x\in A$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}_0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}C\sigma_A(a)Cf&=(C\sigma_A(a)C)\left((x\otimes h)+N\right)\\&=(C\sigma_A(a))\left((x^*\otimes C_0h)+N\right)\\&=C((ax^*a^{-1}\otimes C_0h)+N)\\&=((ax^*a^{-1})^*\otimes h)+N=\sigma_A(a^{-*})f.\end{aligned}$$

Now the desired equality follows by linearity and density. □

Remark 6.10. An example of the situation described in Proposition 6.9 occurs when Ψ is a tracial *state* $\varphi\colon A\to\mathbf{C}$ and C is taken as the conjugate mapping $z\in\mathbf{C}\mapsto\overline{z}\in\mathbf{C}$, since $\varphi(a^*)z=\overline{\varphi(a)}z=\overline{\varphi(a)\overline{z}}$ for every $a\in A$ and $z\in\mathbf{C}$. Indeed, Proposition 6.9 is proved, for tracial states, in [BG11, Proposition 6.1 (d)].

Another example is obtained in the following way. Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a separable Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. For $i,j=1,\dots$ take a family of tracial states of A, say (φ_{ij}). Put $\psi_{ij}:=2^{-(i+j)/2}\varphi_{ij}$, if $i\neq j$, and $\psi_{ii}:=\varphi_{ii}$, for all i. Then define the linear mapping $\Psi\colon A\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ by the prescription

$$(\Psi(a)e_j\mid e_i):=\psi_{ij}(a),\forall a\in A,\forall i.$$

To see that Ψ is well defined notice that, for $a\in A$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_ie_i=h\in\mathcal{H}_0$, we have

$$|\sum_{i\neq j}\psi_{ij}(a)d_j|^2\leq\left(\sum_{i\neq j}2^{-(i+j)}\right)\|a\|^2\|h\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0}<2^{-i}\|a\|^2\|h\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_0s}.$$

and therefore

$$\Psi(a)h=\sum_{i=1}^\infty\psi_{ii}(a)d_ie_i+\sum_{i=1}^\infty\left(\sum_{i\neq j}\psi_{ij}(a)d_j\right)e_i\in\mathcal{H}_0,$$

where the series refer to convergence in $\mathcal{H}_0$, as we claimed.

Now, for $a_k\in A$, $h_k=\sum_{i=1}^\infty d_{kj}e_j\in\mathcal{H}_0$, with $k=1,\dots,N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k,l=1}^N(\Psi(a_k^*a_l)h_l\mid h_k)&=\sum_{k,l=1}^N\sum_{i,j=1}^\infty\psi_{ij}(a_k^*a_l)d_{lj}\overline{d_{ki}}\\&=\sum_{i,j=1}^\infty\left(\sum_{k,l=1}^N\psi_{ij}(a_k^*a_l)d_{lj}\overline{d_{ki}}\right)\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

so Ψ is completely positive.

Let C be the antilinear isometry in $\mathcal{H}_0$ defined by

$$C(h):=\sum_{j=1}^\infty\overline{d_j}e_j,\ \text{if } h=\sum_{j=1}^\infty d_je_j\in\mathcal{H}_0.$$

Then, for $a \in A$ and h in $\mathcal{H}_0$ as above,

$$(C\Psi(a)C)(h) = C\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \psi_{ij}(a)\overline{d_j}e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_{ij}(a)}d_j\right)e_i$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_{ij}(a^*)d_j\right)e_i = \Psi(a^*)h.$$

Certainly, $M := \Psi(\mathbf{1})$ is not the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$. However this is not a serious problem. It happens that M is invertible since, for h in $\mathcal{H}_0$ as above, $(M - \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0})h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(\sum_{j\neq i}\psi_{ij}(\mathbf{1})d_j)e_i$, whence

$$\|M - \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0}\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} < 1.$$

Hence M is invertible. On the other hand, M is also positive. Using the functional calculus we obtain the square root $\sqrt{M}$ of M and it is also invertible. Set $T := (\sqrt{M})^{-1}$ and take $\widetilde{\Psi}(a) := T\Psi(a)T$. Since M is self-adjoint, T is also, hence $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is completely positive. Since M commutes with C, T also commutes with C, so $C\widetilde{\Psi}(a)C = \widetilde{\Psi}(a^*)$.

(It is to be noticed that, in the above example, the property that $\Psi(a^*) = \Psi(a)^*$ for all $a \in A$, which follows automatically from the complete positivity of Ψ, implies that the infinite coefficient matrix of Ψ must be symmetric:

For $h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i e_i$, $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e_j$ in $\mathcal{H}_0$, and $x \in A$, we have $(\Psi(x)h \mid f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty}\psi_{ij}(x)d_j\overline{c_i}$ whereas $(\Psi(x^*)h \mid f) = (h \mid \Psi(x)f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty}\overline{\psi_{ij}(x)}d_i\overline{c_j}$. hence, for every $a \in A$, $\Psi(a^*) = \Psi(a)^*$ implies that $\psi_{ij}(a^*) = \overline{\psi_{ji}(a)} = \psi_{ji}(a^*)$, as we claimed.)

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Professor Joachim Hilgert for kindly sending over one of his papers upon our request, and Professor Radu Pantilie for pointing out useful references and facts on linear connecctions.

References

[ACS95] E. Andruchow, G. Corach, D. Stojanoff, A geometric characterization of nuclearity and injectivity. *J. Funct. Anal.* **133** (1995), no. 2, 474–494.

[BG08] D. Beltiţă, J.E. Galé, Holomorphic geometric models for representations of C^*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **255** (2008), no. 10, 2888–2932.

[BG09] D. Beltiţă, J.E. Galé, On complex infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **3** (2009), no. 4, 739-758.

[BG11] D. Beltiţă, J.E. Galé, Universal objects in categories of reproducing kernels. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **27** (2011), no. 1, 123-179.

[BR07] D. Beltiţă, T.S. Ratiu, Geometric representation theory for unitary groups of operator algebras. *Adv. Math.* **208** (2007), no. 1, 299-317.

[BH98] W. Bertram, J. Hilgert, Reproducing kernels on vector bundles. In: *Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics III*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998, 43-58.

[Bo67] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique. Fasc. XXXIII. Variétés différentielles et analytiques.* Fascicule de résultats (Paragraphes 1 à 7). Actualités Scient. et Industr., No. 1333, Hermann, Paris, 1967.

[BR90] F.E. Burstall, J.H. Rawnsley, *Twistor theory for Riemannian symmetric spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1424. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[CG99] G. Corach, J.E. Galé, On amenability and geometry of spaces of bounded representations. *J. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1999), no. 2, 311–329.

[DG01] M.J. Dupré, J.F. Glazebrook, The Stiefel bundle of a Banach algebra. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **41** (2001), no. 3, 264–287.

[Hi08] J. Hilgert, Reproducing kernels in representation theory. In: B. Gilligan, G.J. Roos (eds.), *Symmetries in Complex Analysis*, Contemporary Math., 468. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 1–98.

[Ho62] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions.* Prentice-Hall Series in Modern Analysis Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.

[KM97] A. Kriegl, P.W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[La01] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Differential Geometry* (corrected second printing). Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 191. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2001.

[Le68] D. Lehmann, Quelques propriétés des connexions induites. *Bull. Soc. Math. France Suppl. Mém.* **16** (1968), 7-99.

[MR92] L.E. Mata-Lorenzo, L. Recht, Infinite-dimensional homogeneous reductive spaces. *Acta Cient. Venezolana* **43**(1992), no. 2, 76–90.

[MP97] M. Monastyrski, Z. Pasternak-Winiarski, Maps on complex manifolds into Grassmann spaces defined by reproducing kernels of Bergman type. *Demonstratio Math.* **30** (1997), no. 2, 465–474.

[NR61] M.S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan, Existence of universal connections. *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 563–572.

[NR63] M.S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan, Existence of universal connections. II. *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 223–231.

[Ne00] K.-H. Neeb, *Holomorphy and Convexity in Lie Theory.* de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 28, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.

[Ne12] K.-H. Neeb, Holomorphic realization of unitary representations of Banach-Lie groups. In A. Huckleberry (ed.), *Lie Groups: Structure, Actions and Representations*, Progress in Math., Birkhäuser, 2012 (to appear).

[Od88] A. Odzijewicz, On reproducing kernels and quantization of states. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **114** (1988), no. 4, 577–597.

[Od92] A. Odzijewicz, Coherent states and geometric quantization. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992), no. 2, 385–413.

[Pa02] V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 78. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[PR86] H. Porta, L. Recht, Classification of linear connections. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **118** (1986), no. 2, 547–560.

[Sch80] R. Schlafly, Universal connections. *Invent. Math.* **59** (1980), no. 1, 59–65.

[Up85] H. Upmeier, *Symmetric Banach Manifolds and Jordan C^*-algebras.* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 104. Notas de Matemàtica, 96. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.

[Vi67] J. Vilms, Connections on tangent bundles. *J. Differential Geometry* **1** (1967), 235–243.

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro`

Departamento de matemáticas and I.U.M.A., Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

E-mail address: `gale@unizar.es`